

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:32 AM

Conversation Contents

Western Energy Alliance Comments on BLM Reform

Attachments:

/1. Western Energy Alliance Comments on BLM Reform/1.1 Western Energy Alliance Comments on the BLM Reform Initiative.pdf

Tripp Parks <tparks@westernenergyalliance.org>

From: Tripp Parks <tparks@westernenergyalliance.org>
Sent: Thu Aug 10 2017 16:17:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov" <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>, "katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>, "vincent_devito@ios.doi.gov" <vincent_devito@ios.doi.gov>
CC: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Subject: Western Energy Alliance Comments on BLM Reform
Attachments: Western Energy Alliance Comments on the BLM Reform Initiative.pdf

Good evening everyone,

I just submitted the attached comments from Western Energy Alliance regarding DOI's reform initiative on regulations.gov, and wanted to make sure you all got a copy as well. These comments are specific to BLM, and we plan to submit further comments for other DOI agencies in the near future. Kathleen and I would be more than happy to answer questions on these comments, and we look forward to working with you on any reform initiatives you undertake in the future. Thank you all for your hard work in DC!

Sincerely,

Tripp Parks
Manager of Government Affairs
Western Energy Alliance
Main: 303-623-0987
Direct: 303-501-1061
tparks@westernenergyalliance.org

"Devito, Vincent" <vincent_devito@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Devito, Vincent" <vincent_devito@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Thu Aug 10 2017 16:48:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Tripp Parks <tparks@westernenergyalliance.org> "kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov" <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>, "katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
CC:

<katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>, Kathleen Sgamma
<ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>

Subject: Re: Western Energy Alliance Comments on BLM Reform

Thank you.

On Thu, Aug 10, 2017 at 6:17 PM, Tripp Parks <tparks@westernenergyalliance.org> wrote:
Good evening everyone,

I just submitted the attached comments from Western Energy Alliance regarding DOI's reform initiative on [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), and wanted to make sure you all got a copy as well. These comments are specific to BLM, and we plan to submit further comments for other DOI agencies in the near future. Kathleen and I would be more than happy to answer questions on these comments, and we look forward to working with you on any reform initiatives you undertake in the future. Thank you all for your hard work in DC!

Sincerely,

Tripp Parks
Manager of Government Affairs
Western Energy Alliance
Main: 303-623-0987
Direct: 303-501-1061
tparks@westernenergyalliance.org

--

Vincent DeVito, Esq.
Counselor to the Secretary of Interior for Energy Policy
Immediate Office of the Secretary
+1.202.208.2884
vincent_devito@ios.doi.gov

Katharine MacGregor <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: Katharine MacGregor <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Thu Aug 10 2017 19:51:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Tripp Parks <tparks@westernenergyalliance.org>
"kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov"
CC: <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>, "vincent_devito@ios.doi.gov"
<vincent_devito@ios.doi.gov>, Kathleen Sgamma
<ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Subject: Re: Western Energy Alliance Comments on BLM Reform

Thanks for flagging.
- K

On Aug 10, 2017, at 6:19 PM, Tripp Parks <tparks@westernenergyalliance.org> wrote:

Good evening everyone,

I just submitted the attached comments from Western Energy Alliance regarding DOI's reform initiative on [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), and wanted to make sure you all got a copy as well. These comments are specific to BLM, and we plan to submit further comments for other DOI agencies in the near future. Kathleen and I would be more than happy to answer questions on these comments, and we look forward to working with you on any reform initiatives you undertake in the future. Thank you all for your hard work in DC!

Sincerely,

Tripp Parks
Manager of Government Affairs
Western Energy Alliance
Main: 303-623-0987
Direct: 303-501-1061
tparks@westernenergyalliance.org

<Western Energy Alliance Comments on the BLM Reform Initiative.pdf>



August 10, 2017

Submitted via www.regulations.gov

The Honorable Ryan Zinke
Secretary
U.S. Department of the Interior
1859 C Street, NW
Mail Stop 7328
Washington D.C. 20240

Re: Bureau of Land Management Regulatory Reform, DOI-2017-0003-0003

Dear Secretary Zinke:


Western Energy Alliance appreciates the opportunity to provide public input on how the Department of the Interior (DOI) can improve implementation of regulatory reform initiatives and policies and identify regulations for repeal, replacement, or modification. The comments contained in this document specifically address regulatory reform at the Bureau of Land Management (BLM).

Western Energy Alliance represents over 300 companies engaged in all aspects of environmentally responsible exploration and production of oil and natural gas across the West. The Alliance represents independent, the majority of which are small businesses with an average of fifteen employees. Our members operate on public lands in the West, and therefore have a particular interest in BLM's management of these lands.

We appreciate that you have made American energy dominance a priority at DOI, and BLM plays a key role in ensuring that outcome is possible. The recommendations contained in these comments are the product of a comprehensive examination of federal onshore oil and gas processes, and are intended to provide an overview of current problems and possible solutions in BLM's management of federal lands for energy development. Alliance staff and members developed these recommendations through numerous meetings where we proceeded step-by-step through the onshore process as it is now conducted, identifying specific issues with and recommendations for the process from the time a parcel is nominated for lease to the point at which a drilling permit is issued.

In this letter we present two ideas for long-term reform regarding delegation to the states and reducing the federal nexus, which could get BLM reoriented back to its core mission of managing federal lands and away from redundant overlap with states. The subsequent sections are organized around the main processes of the federal onshore program and provide recommendations for reform over the short-, medium- and long-terms.

Our suggestions would provide regulatory certainty for oil and natural gas companies evaluating whether to operate on federal lands, which will in turn increase domestic



energy development and contribute to the goal of American energy dominance. The recommendations will also help address the problems of shrinking budgets and a lack of personnel resources at BLM by reducing unnecessary layers of red tape, while increasing royalties returned by oil and natural gas companies to federal and state governments.

Delegation to the States

While oil and natural gas production in the United States has increased dramatically over the last several years, the growth has occurred predominantly on private and state lands while federal lands have lagged far behind. States in the West with large amounts of public land are at a decided disadvantage compared to other parts of the country. Slow processing times and federal obstacles to production limit job creation and economic growth, particularly in rural communities.

BLM should work with Congress to delegate primary regulatory authority for well permitting and operations to the states where it is appropriate, and devote the resources it currently employs back to its core mission of managing federal lands. States have robust oil and natural gas regulatory frameworks that have been in place for decades. They have demonstrated an exemplary safety record, while also ensuring energy development can occur in a timely fashion. This delegation would allow BLM to focus on National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance.

The Interstate Oil & Gas Compact Commission (IOGCC), a multi-state government agency representing oil and natural gas producing states, has issued [a resolution](#) urging delegation to the states for the regulation of operations on federal public land. The IOGCC resolution sends a clear signal that states are prepared to take on the added responsibility for approving drilling and completion permits, rights-of-way, and inspections on federal lands.

The federal government is much less efficient than states in managing oil and natural gas development, taking more than 250 days to issue drilling permits compared to about 30 days on average for state agencies. Delegating primary authority to the states would ensure environmentally-responsible development is possible without the lengthy delays associated with the federal onshore process.

Federal Nexus

BLM's approach to the federal nexus is a prime example of regulatory overreach that results in development on state and private land being subject to the discretion of federal agencies. BLM is tasked with managing the public lands, but in practice its actions far too often burden private landowners simply because their land is located near federal lands or federal minerals.

BLM currently triggers the NEPA process for wells on private or state lands if any of the oil and natural gas resources being drilled are federally owned, even when it has a small mineral interest. Once BLM determines a private action has a federal nexus, the full gamut of federal reviews and processes apply, resulting in long delays to the development of private and state minerals, denying individuals and states their private property rights.

Using the federal nexus as a way for BLM to become involved in wells in which it has only a minority of the mineral interest is inappropriate, and the practice should be curtailed.

Once the federal nexus is triggered, other agencies such as the Environmental Protection Agency and the Fish and Wildlife Service become involved in the process. These agencies then attempt to inspect private land and impose restrictions on the landowners through laws such as the Endangered Species Act and the National Historic Preservation Act. When private landowners wisely refuse federal officials or tribal members access to their lands, BLM prevents the process from moving forward and companies are forced to delay or reconsider the plans for the project. That this can occur when only a minimal amount of federal minerals and no federal lands are involved in the project is clearly overreach for an agency tasked with managing public lands.

BLM should work with Congress on a bill to define a federal nexus as situations only where federal surface and/or a majority of federal minerals are being developed. The federal government would then receive royalties as any other minority mineral owner through a normal communitization or unitization agreement. Limiting BLM's regulatory authority to situations where there is a true federal nexus, i.e. when it has a majority mineral interest or surface ownership, will allow it to return to its mission of managing federal lands, rather than imposing onerous restrictions on mineral development underneath private and state lands.

Detailed Recommendations

The following sections are organized around the main processes of the federal onshore oil and gas program, i.e., leasing, environmental analysis under NEPA, and permitting. Each section highlights several challenges with BLM's current practices, and provides brief recommendations for improvements BLM could make. Some of these recommendations are simple and could be accomplished quickly, while others are more complex and require a longer timeframe, including legislation.

These sections are intended to provide an overview of the issues, rather than being a comprehensive examination of the minutiae of BLM's onshore oil and natural gas program. We are more than willing to provide further details or background on any and all of these issues if and when DOI and BLM wish to proceed with the suggested reforms. Since this docket is remaining open, we will also submit more detailed recommendations and analysis for several of our proposals as we develop them.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,



Kathleen M. Sgamma
President

WESTERN ENERGY ALLIANCE

August 2017

Introduction: The leasing process starts when a company nominates a parcel in an area designated as open for oil and gas leasing by an existing Resources Management Plan (RMP). BLM reviews this expression of interest (EOI) to determine whether the parcel is available for leasing and conducts an Environmental Assessment (EA) pursuant to the National Environmental Protection Act to evaluate parcels it plans to lease.

Challenges:

1. Instruction Memorandum (IM) 2010-117 directed BLM to use a rotational lease sale schedule in each State Office, so that each field office has only one sale per year. As a result, nominated parcels are only available for leasing every twelve months at best, assuming parcels are not deferred for some reason, and two years or more may elapse between nomination and sale. The rotational schedule limits development in high interest areas such as the Carlsbad and Casper Field Offices because parcels are not offered for sale every quarter, even though EOIs are submitted and approved throughout the year, and wastes effort on lease sales for low-interest areas only where no leases may be sold at all. For multi-state State Offices like New Mexico and Montana/Dakotas, the rotational schedule means that fewer than four lease sales are held in those states, in violation of the Mineral Leasing Act which requires quarterly lease sales in every *state* where there is interest.
2. BLM frequently defers parcels in an entire planning area while updating the RMP, despite the existence of a previously-approved RMP that identifies acreage as open for leasing with applicable stipulations. Because RMPs regularly take five years or more to update, parcels are deferred for many years.
3. There is no transparency regarding why nominated parcels are not being offered for sale, i.e., deferred.
4. In reaction to a Colorado District Court ruling that applies only in Colorado, BLM instituted a nationwide policy to reveal the names of companies/individuals that nominate parcels, unless they withhold their identity. Because analysis and expense goes into nominations, that information is normally considered protected, confidential commercial information. Nominating entities have no choice but to withhold their identities, which creates a situation whereby BLM cannot coordinate with them, adding inefficiencies into the nominations process. BLM may bring parcels to sale for which there is no longer any interest simply because coordination is not possible.

Recommendations:

1. Rescind IM 2010-117 and conduct quarterly lease sales in each state with parcels from every field office where there is interest. Issue a memorandum to strike all references to IM 2010-117 in internal guidance documents. Each lease sale should offer parcels that have been approved throughout the state or from all states within the State Office region, rather than being limited those from specific field offices or states.
2. Follow the plain language of FLPMA, which states that RMPs are to be used for management decisions until such time as they are updated. Direct state offices to proceed with leasing under all existing RMPs and discontinue practice of deferring all parcels during RMP updates.
3. BLM should track lease nominations and deferrals in a standard manner and make the data publicly available and transparent. All deferrals should present a legitimate reason for deferral. An ongoing RMP amendment process cannot be identified as a legitimate reason.
4. Rescind IM 2014-004, Oil and Gas Informal Expressions of Interest and reinstate IM 2013-026, Confidential Handling of Oil and Gas Informal Expressions of Interest.

August 2017

Introduction: BLM develops Resource Management Plans (RMP) by planning area, typically associated with a single field office, as the guiding documents for managing land as required by the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA). An RMP contemplates several resource uses in the area, including energy development, grazing, timber harvesting, and recreation, and designates certain areas as off limits to resource development.

Challenges:

1. RMPs take years to develop, in most cases five or more, and are updated via amendment every fifteen to twenty years. During an RMP amendment process, BLM frequently delays leasing decisions, rights of way, and applications for permit to drill based solely on the fact that the RMP is being updated. Parcels that would otherwise be available under the existing RMP are thus placed off limits to leasing and drilling for many years, despite the requirement in the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) that existing RMP be utilized for all land management decision making until such time as a new RMP is in place.
2. When BLM does lease parcels while an RMP is being updated, stipulations are often attached to leases which are not specified under the existing RMP. This occurs because BLM attempts to foretell the outcome of the amendment process and apply restrictions that may be applied under an amended RMP, whether or not those stipulations are eventually adopted. BLM also applies stipulations from newly updated RMPs to leases sold under previous RMPs in the form of Conditions of Approval (COA) attached to drilling permits, a clear violation of valid existing lease rights.
3. While BLM allows for substantial public input during the RMP development process, stakeholder concerns, particularly those from state and local governments and other entities that support development, are frequently ignored in final plans. BLM frequently exceeds statutory authority by imposing land use restrictions in RMPs in spite of significant public comment opposed to those restrictions. Further, public comments asking BLM to severely limit oil and natural gas leasing and development have been used by BLM as an excuse for ignoring FLPMA, which dedicated the public lands to multiple use and sustained yield, and identified mineral exploration and development as one of the principle uses. Due to the proliferation of these restrictions, resulting RMPs may violate FLPMA's multiple-use and sustained yield mandate.
4. BLM has established expansive wilderness areas in RMPs in violation of its multiple-use mandate and Congressional disapproval. Wilderness designations such as "lands with wilderness characteristics" end up being de facto wilderness designations that place large amounts of land completely off-limits to resource development, violating the Wilderness Act which requires an

act of Congress. These designations are frequently used for the specific purpose of foreclosing development rather than protecting areas that could truly be considered wilderness.

Recommendations:

1. Issue an IM specifying that State Directors and Field Office Managers must move forward with processing nominations in accordance with existing RMPs until amended RMP Records of Decision are signed, and end the practice of deferring lease parcels while RMPs are being amended. The IM should clearly state that ongoing RMP updates, amendments, supplements, or Master Leasing Plans are not legitimate reasons for lease deferral.
2. In an overall leasing IM or further guidance resulting from Secretarial Order 3354, specify that lease stipulations applied to any new leases must be based on existing RMPs only, rather than anticipating new stipulations that may be adopted in a future RMP amendment that has yet to be finalized. Also specify that COAs that likewise anticipate new stipulations contemplated for ongoing RMP amendments, supplements, or MLPs may not be applied to permits for existing leases.
3. When finalizing RMP amendments, ensure that public comments are sufficiently incorporated into the final plan, and only impose resource development restrictions that accord with FLPMA, the Mineral Leasing Act (MLA) and other statutory authority.

BLM should adhere to the principles established in the 2005 Desk Guide to Cooperating Agency Relationships and Coordination with Intergovernmental Partners. Many counties across the West have planning processes that are not given full consideration by BLM. BLM should improve the recognition and incorporation of state and local government land use plans, data, and policies in RMP amendments.

4. The Department of the Interior should rescind Secretarial Order 3310 on Protecting Wilderness Characteristics on Lands Managed by the Bureau of Land Management. Congress has explicitly denied funding for the implementation of this order because the designation of “Wild Lands” is a violation of FLPMA’s multiple-use mandate, yet BLM still treats “lands with wilderness characteristics” as de facto wilderness. BLM should also rescind IM 2011-154, Requirements to Conduct and Maintain Inventory for Wilderness Characteristics and to Consider Lands with Wilderness Characteristics in Land Use Plans, and IM 2011-147, Identification of Areas with Broad Public Support for Possible Congressional Designation as Wilderness.

August 2017

Introduction: Interior Secretary Salazar created a new, redundant layer of NEPA analysis by establishing the Master Leasing Plan (MLP) policy in 2010. BLM has decided to develop MLPs for certain areas that supposedly require yet more NEPA analysis.

Challenges:

1. MLPs are duplicative and unnecessary. Resource Management Plans (RMP) already designate which areas are open to leasing and which are closed, and outline conditions for leasing where it is available. RMPs incorporate extensive public input and take many years to develop.
2. BLM defers parcels from leasing because an MLP is being developed for a certain area, despite the fact that those parcels are open to leasing under the existing RMP. The MLP development process takes several years, further delaying leasing and preventing the deployment of new or additional investment by oil and natural gas companies in those areas and reducing the associated jobs and economic benefits.
3. Instruction Memorandum 2010-117, which created the MLP process, established four criteria which must be met in order to proceed with an MLP: 1) the area must be substantially unleased; 2) there must be a majority of federal mineral interest; 3) the industry must have expressed interest in leasing; and 4) there are likely conflicts with air quality, cultural, or other natural resource values or proximity with national parks, wilderness areas, or other special designations. However, the IM also allowed for MLPs to proceed completely at the discretion of the State Director or Field/District Manager. That additional discretion has resulted in the development of MLPs that don't even meet these basic criteria, rendering the policy even more redundant and arbitrary than originally envisioned.
4. BLM uses the MLP process to attach conditions of approval (COA) for permits on existing leases, increasing costs, delays oil and natural gas development, and violating valid existing rights granted pursuant to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act.

Recommendations:

1. Rescind Instruction Memorandum (IM) 2010-117 and replace it with an IM that explicitly ends the MLP process and authorizes leasing pursuant to existing Resource Management Plans. Review of parcels for leasing eligibility would then continue to occur via the normal leasing process, which includes NEPA analysis for each lease sale, and BLM resources would not be wasted on a redundant layer of NEPA analysis.

2. Abandon all ongoing MLP efforts and respect all current RMPs. Proceed forward to sale with lease nominations that have been deferred while awaiting MLP completion. The IM rescinding the MLP process should also explicitly halt existing efforts by name, such as the San Rafael Desert and South Park MLPs.
3. If the MLP policy is sustained, remove the provision allowing for State Director discretion and require all four criteria to be met in order to proceed with an MLP. Further, require leasing to continue in an area where an MLP is being developed pursuant to the existing RMP and applicable stipulations and limitations.
4. If the MLP policy is sustained, issue an IM that requires BLM to recognize valid existing rights and not impose COAs in violation of those existing lease rights, and instructs state and field offices to discontinue the practice of attaching COAs to permits for existing leases in any future MLP.

August 2017

Introduction: The public has the ability to comment on leasing both at the leasing EA stage and again following the release of a Notice of Competitive Lease Sale. The 30-day protest period enables the public to formally protest the inclusion of specific parcels in the sale. BLM must respond to legitimate concerns raised in protests, and often attaches additional stipulations to the parcels, or determines that the issues raised are already addressed in existing stipulations, and so informs the protesting entity. When BLM cannot resolve the issues raised, it often defers leases for further additional analysis or proceeds to sale and clears up the protests afterwards before issuing the leases to the winning bidder.

Challenges:

1. Environmental groups routinely challenge parcels offered for lease not because they have legitimate concerns with them, but because they are opposed to all oil and natural gas production. Protests cause extensive delays to leasing and often result in large numbers of parcels and acreage being deferred. They also give the protester standing to file lawsuits after lease issuance. The original intent of the protest process was to give the public the opportunity to identify environmental impacts of leases and express concerns. Instead, the protest process has morphed into a tool for obstructionist groups to oppose BLM's multiple-use mandate and stop leasing, while straining BLM resources.
2. Environmental groups frequently submit large protests that challenge all or a significant portion of the parcels BLM makes available in a lease sale. These protests frequently rely on broad arguments such as the impacts of oil and natural gas development on climate change, rather than specific, local issues with the parcels at question. Protests that rely on universal arguments, rather than parcel-specific issues, can be extremely lengthy documents that include hundreds of pages. Because BLM must respond to all issues addressed in the protests, the resolution period can last months, and sometimes results in the deferral of those parcels. The long-term deferral and failure to lease these parcels can prevent companies from assembling the necessary leasehold to proceed further with development.
3. In some instances, BLM proceeds to sale with parcels before protests are resolved. As a result, winning bidders, who are required to immediately pay the bonus amount and first-year rental, have capital tied up for months or even years waiting for BLM to clear the protests and issue the leases. When protests are upheld, leases are canceled and bidders reimbursed, but not until after needlessly tying up capital and delaying jobs and economic opportunity. Furthermore, states are also denied their leasing revenue. Leases that were purchased at a September 2016 sale in New Mexico were not issued until April 2017 because two environmental groups filed protests that totaled 1,281 pages, and BLM took months to respond to the protests. The seven-

month delay in issuing the leases resulted in [New Mexico's share of the proceeds](#), \$69.9 million, being withheld during a budget crisis. The Mineral Leasing Act requires that leases be issued within 60 days after receipt of lease payment.

4. In 2010, BLM reformed the leasing process, adding three additional layers of analysis onto the leasing process. The justification given by the Obama Administration was that the reforms would reduce the number of protests, making leasing more efficient and predictable. However, the policies did the opposite, lengthening the leasing process and creating further uncertainty while the percentage of protests actually rose from 41% in 2010 to 46% in 2015.

Recommendations:

1. Western Energy Alliance appreciates Secretarial Order 3354, which emphasizes the critical importance of American energy security and directs BLM to improve the federal onshore oil and natural gas leasing program. That demonstration of the political will to move forward with American energy dominance is a step in the right direction for countering obstructionist groups opposed to all oil and natural gas development on federal lands.
2. BLM should quickly dismiss protests that only address vague, general issues that do not actually pertain to the individual parcels. Since groups such as WildEarth Guardians repeatedly protest virtually every lease sale using the same boilerplate arguments, BLM should develop standard language to respond in kind, rather than spending months issuing a detailed response. Western Energy Alliance provided BLM with legal justification for every lease sale protested in the West in 2010 until it was clear BLM was not receptive. We are happy to provide that standard language to help BLM develop templates.
3. In an overall leasing IM or further guidance resulting from Secretarial Order 3354, BLM should clarify that it has a statutory duty under the Mineral Leasing Act to adjudicate lease protests and issue leases within sixty days after the sale is completed. When BLM offers parcels for sale that have unresolved protests, it must dedicate sufficient resources to resolving the protest in the statutory timeframe. Timely protest responses will give companies assurance that their capital will not be tied up indefinitely if they bid on BLM parcels, and it will ensure that states can set their budgets accordingly without fear of payments being held up for months at a time.
4. Rescind Instruction Memorandum (IM) 2010-117 and issue a memorandum that explicitly ends the MLP process. The politically-motivated IM has failed to reduce protests, so the additional layers implemented in the IM only serve to further delay leasing.

Introduction: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis is required for all oil and natural gas projects that may impact the environment. BLM begins the NEPA process by determining what level of environmental analysis is necessary for a project, either an Environmental Assessment (EA) or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), depending on the size and scope of the project and whether it will have a significant impact on the environment or not.

Challenges:

1. The NEPA process is initiated for wells on private or state lands even when only a minority of the oil and natural gas resources being accessed are federal, using the “federal nexus” as a way for BLM to become involved in wells in which it has only a minority of interest and/or when the well is not on federal or tribal surface. As a result, BLM delays the development of private and state minerals, denying individuals and states their private property rights.
2. BLM uses the federal nexus to require tribal consultation for cultural artifacts on private land, even when there’s no federal public or tribal lands in the area and only a minority of federal minerals interests. When private landowners wisely refuse federal or tribal members to access their lands, it puts operators in a bind because BLM won’t let the process move forward. The assertion of tribal rights on private lands around the DAPL protest site is an example of why private landowners do not want cultural artifacts asserted as existing on their private lands. In the Powder River Basin in particular, BLM is conducting far-reaching tribal consultations for 23 tribes who do not have tribal lands in the area. These consultations can hold up project NEPA and APDs indefinitely.
3. BLM is not granting Categorical Exclusions (CX) when companies meet the requirements under Section 390 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, particularly related to having an existing Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or Environmental Assessment (EA) that analyzed drilling and is less than five years old. Because CXs are rarely granted, BLM is conducting redundant NEPA analysis that is unnecessary. In many situations BLM automatically requires another EA, rather than even considering a CX.
4. Even after determining that a CX applies for a project, BLM often determines that “extraordinary circumstances” apply and requires an EA or EIS. These decisions are arbitrary. If BLM decides an EIS or EA is necessary, there is no process for the project proponent to challenge the decision.
5. BLM does not provide consistent guidance to companies on how to best develop and describe their proposal in order to facilitate a timely and efficient NEPA analysis.

Recommendations:

1. BLM should work with Congress on a bill to limit the federal nexus to situations only where federal lands are involved and/or there is a majority of federal minerals. The federal government would then receive royalties as any other minority mineral owner through a normal pooling/unitization agreement.
2. BLM should issue an IM or other policy guidance to prevent field offices from requiring tribal consultation on private and state lands. The guidance should specify that a Class III Cultural Inventory approved by BLM provides the information necessary for NEPA clearance. The inventories must be conducted by appropriately credentialed archeological experts, which may be contractors.
3. BLM should follow existing law and utilize Resource Management Plans and their associated EISs, programmatic EAs/EISs, and project EAs/EISs that are all less than five years old, and grant CXs in all cases that meet the Energy Policy Act of 2005 criteria, rather than requiring redundant NEPA analysis. BLM's NEPA handbook already specifies that this is allowed, so simple direction to the state and field offices is all that is required.
4. BLM should establish clear criteria for what constitutes extraordinary circumstances and implement these criteria through an Instructional Memorandum and the NEPA handbook. BLM should also implement an appeal process to enable project proponents to challenge decisions regarding the level of environmental analysis required for a project.
5. BLM should provide proactive initial guidance to proponents when they announce projects that are likely to require an EA or EIS. A checklist should be provided by BLM that outlines the content needed to support BLM's review, and BLM should provide informal feedback on the checklist so the project description can be efficiently converted to the Proposed Action in the NEPA document. Early correspondence would serve as an initial test for potential significant impacts and would set the stage for the environmental analysis. Proactive coordination between BLM and the proponent would increase efficiencies and save time once the environmental analysis begins.

Introduction: An Environmental Assessment (EA) is developed in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for projects of a size and scope that are not anticipated to have a significant impact on the environment. As such, EAs often result in a Finding of No Significant Impact. However, should the EA lead to BLM's determination that the proposed project's impact is greater than originally anticipated, NEPA compliance may require the project be analyzed in an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). EISs are often lengthy, detailed documents that typically take years to develop and specify the impacts of a project, and they may attach additional stipulations to project approval.

Challenges:

1. EAs are frequently required by BLM for projects already covered by existing NEPA documents or that meet the criteria for being granted Categorical Exclusions (CX) under Section 390 of Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPA). In contravention of EPA, BLM is requiring duplicative NEPA for: 1) wells involving less than five acres of disturbance with total lease disturbance of less than 150 acres that already have site-specific NEPA; 2) new wells on pads drilled within the last five years; and 3) areas covered by an existing NEPA document that is five years old or less. As a result, oil and natural gas projects are delayed months and years while redundant NEPA analysis is conducted, in direct violation of statute.
2. BLM often needlessly lengthens the NEPA process by incorporating elements that are unnecessary and beyond the impacts and scope of a project. In some cases, BLM identifies potential impacts for which it has no data, and then significantly delays the project while it waits on studies to be completed and released. BLM frequently requests information that is not required for project-specific NEPA analysis just because it is beneficial for BLM to have. This information is collected at the company's expense, even when it is unrelated to the project.
3. Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) guidelines regarding NEPA timeframes are frequently exceeded by many years. CEQ guidelines state EAs should be completed in about six months and EISs should be completed in about eighteen months.
4. Even though companies often pay for contractors to develop project NEPA, in many cases to the tune of millions of dollars incurred over many years, these documents frequently go into a black hole without visibility on where they are in the development process or why there are substantial delays.
5. Many field offices lack experience developing oil and natural gas NEPA documents. Personnel turnover of NEPA coordinators often leads to sudden changes in the quality of NEPA documents or the requirements levied on operators. Inefficiencies result when new personnel must "recreate the wheel" rather than following an established template.

6. Due to the need to ensure the integrity of the NEPA analysis, a separation is maintained between BLM and/or the contractor conducting the analysis and the project proponent. This separation can still be maintained while involving the project proponent at key times, yet often BLM releases draft documents for public comment before the project proponent has seen them. Public comments will often identify issues which could have been addressed more quickly and efficiently beforehand by the proponent, enabling a more polished, complete draft to be prepared for public review and comment.
7. Federal courts have construed the requirements of NEPA to require more procedure and delay than Congress ever envisioned when enacting the statute. Because of the myriad requirements contained in regulations, policies and other guidance documents, it is very easy for environmental groups to find deficiencies in documents to exploit in court. When the documents are several thousands of pages with several thousands more pages of supporting studies, it is very easy to flyspeck BLM's analysis to find a supposed deficiency.
8. Since the release of a Presidential Memorandum regarding Mitigating Impacts on Natural Resources in November 2015, BLM has been imposing onerous compensatory mitigation requirements that significantly increase the cost of projects and exceed BLM's statutory authority. Orders from President Trump and Secretary Zinke in March 2017 overturned the previous administration's overarching mitigation framework, but field offices continue to implement an Instruction Memorandum from December 2016 that directs BLM to incorporate these mitigation measures into NEPA documents that are beyond BLM's authority and in violation of the Executive and Secretarial Orders.

Recommendations:

1. Field offices should use existing EISs or EAs rather than requiring redundant NEPA for projects. CXs should be used for all wells that meet EAct 2005 Section 390 criteria so that wells are not held up awaiting lengthy and redundant EAs to be completed. Any existing NEPA document, including EISs associated with RMPs and RMP Amendments, supplemental EISs, programmatic EAs/EISs, and project specific EAs/EIS, for which BLM analyzed drilling as a reasonably foreseeable activity should be used to meet the existing NEPA requirement. BLM should establish a process whereby operators can turn to the BLM state offices or the appropriate leadership in Washington when field offices arbitrarily deny the use of CXs.
2. NEPA documents should only identify known anticipated impacts from proposed projects, and should not incorporate or require information based on purely speculative impacts. Stipulations and restrictions attached to EISs should be developed in coordination with the project proponent and be based on operator-committed measures. BLM should also finalize EISs based on currently identifiable impacts, and should not postpone completion while it awaits new information to surface.

The scope of NEPA documents should be limited to information that is truly required for NEPA

compliance. Field offices should be directed to stop requesting ad hoc information not required by regulation, statute or official BLM policy.

3. When EAs exceed six months and EISs exceed eighteen months, BLM should assign strike teams to complete them. These strike teams should be composed of planning specialists, perhaps at the state office level, who have the expertise to move forward expeditiously with NEPA documents, as sometimes staff at the field office level are not as skilled in the NEPA process and focused on many different tasks.
4. BLM should inform project proponents at least every four to six weeks on where NEPA documents are in the process, the cause of delays, and what BLM is doing to move forward.
5. BLM should issue an Instruction Memorandum (IM) directing state and field offices to develop NEPA templates for both EAs and EISs, including questions related to on-the-ground factors in the states and planning areas that can be answered simply. The IM could also include a template for common aspects nationwide as a starting point.
6. BLM should provide project proponents with draft documents before the public. BLM should accept clarifications from companies and make any adjustments before they are published in the Federal Register for official public comment. Doing so would reduce the amount of work BLM must spend responding to public comments and allow for additional collaboration and problem solving between the project proponent and BLM, saving resources and time.
7. It will remain difficult to create legally defensible documents as long as they are so long and complex. Several policies enacted without Congressional mandate or a rulemaking process during the Obama Administration should be overturned, as they created more complexity and made planning documents even longer and more indefensible. The sage grouse plans and their associated IMs are a case in point, as is the mitigation IM, and policies or practices enacted for the now overturned Planning 2.0 rule. Many IMs Western Energy Alliance is recommending to overturn will help simplify and make NEPA documents more defensible. Reducing the complexity of policies will help reduce the length and complexity of NEPA documents and make them more legally defensible.

BLM should not be afraid to complete NEPA documents, and just prepare to robustly defend itself from the inevitable court challenges. Too often in the past, BLM simply held up NEPA documents to await further analysis, rather than asserting analysis meets the best-available-information standards in FLPMA.

BLM should also work with Congress on ways to limit opportunities for unaccountable groups to sue to stop energy projects that create jobs and economic opportunities. Supporting legislation to prevent the use of the Equal Access to Justice Act as a means of using taxpayer dollars to fund litigation from large environmental groups is one way. Another is reducing the statute of limitations for legal challenges to energy projects from six years to ninety days, the same timeline that energy developers and lessees must meet to challenge federal decisions.

8. Withdraw IM 2017-021. New IMs and policies regarding a workable mitigation framework that is reasonable and does not arbitrarily delay and deny energy projects must be carefully constructed and implemented. In the meantime, perhaps a limited scope IM could be issued instruct field offices to only require mitigation measures in NEPA documents that are consistent with Secretarial Order 3349. The IM and any mitigation policies developed should require field offices to recognize and credit a proponent's committed avoidance and minimization of impacts in determining the amount of mitigation required.

August 2017

Introduction: BLM must approve an Application for Permit to Drill (APD) before a company can begin drilling operations. The APD must include extremely detailed information, including the type, location, and plan for drilling the well.

Challenges:

1. BLM requires an APD for wells on private or state lands even when only a minority of the oil and natural gas resources being accessed are federal, using the “federal nexus” as a way for BLM to become involved in wells in which it has only a minority of mineral interest. Once the federal nexus is invoked, the full gamut of BLM processes apply, resulting in long delays to the development of private and state minerals, denying individuals and states their private property rights.
2. BLM uses the federal nexus to require tribal consultation for cultural artifacts on private land, even when there’s no federal public or tribal lands in the area and only a minority of federal minerals interests. When private landowners wisely refuse federal or tribal members to access their lands, it puts operators in a bind because BLM won’t let the process move forward. This leads to BLM deferring APDs until the consultation is complete, causing further delays. The assertion of tribal rights on private lands around the DAPL protest site is an example of why private landowners do not want cultural artifacts asserted as existing on their private lands.

Furthermore, BLM arbitrarily defines the Area of Potential Effects (APE) to incorporate a broad area of land so that the need to consult is triggered even when the actual cultural site is avoided. In the Powder River Basin in particular, BLM is conducting far-reaching tribal consultations for 23 tribes who do not have tribal lands in the area. These consultations can hold up project NEPA and APDs indefinitely.

3. BLM field offices arbitrarily add new requirements to APDs and require producers to conduct new and redundant analysis without a basis in law or regulation. Companies have been asked to perform extra cultural, wildlife, flood plain or other surveys, even after complying with existing regulations. Arbitrary requirements lengthen the APD processing time both for the operator and for BLM. Requirements vary greatly from field office to field office, further frustrating operators.
4. BLM frequently fails to meet the 30-day statutory deadline for permit approval set forth in the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAAct). The federal government is much less efficient than states in managing energy development, taking an average of more than 250 days to issue drilling permits in 2016 compared to about 30 days on average for state agencies. Furthermore, federal permitting requirements are redundant with state requirements, as companies must also obtain

both federal and state permits for federal wells.

5. Lengthy APD timeframes often occur because BLM is conducting redundant NEPA analysis. BLM is not granting Categorical Exclusions (CX) when companies meet the criteria under Section 390 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and in many situations automatically requires another Environmental Assessment, rather than even considering a CX. In contravention of EPOA, BLM is requiring duplicative NEPA for: 1) wells involving less than five acres of disturbance with total lease disturbance of less than 150 acres that already have site-specific NEPA; 2) new wells on pads drilled within the last five years; and 3) areas covered by an existing NEPA document that is five years old or less. As a result, APDs are delayed months and years awaiting redundant NEPA analysis, in direct violation of statute.
6. BLM moved to an online system known as the Automated Fluid Minerals Support System (AFMSS II) in 2016. The system has numerous flaws which slow down the ability to submit an APD, and the process flow for review and approval is inefficient. Entering the data is tedious, requiring detailed data entry for many unnecessary and inapplicable fields before the program allows a company to submit its APD. These additional requirements further delay the application process and in many cases are beyond the scope of Onshore Order 1 and the Resource Management Plan (RMP) stipulations for that lease. Glitches with the operating system also cause information to be lost and have to be re-entered, adding to the time it takes to complete the submission. Finally, BLM staff cannot work on multiple APDs simultaneously, so they must finish one before moving to the next.
7. There is a lack of transparency in the APD process, and companies cannot tell which APDs BLM is actively evaluating and which are held up in the queue. Because of long lead times, companies don't know if their permits will take two months or two years and they must request permits well in advance of when they actually plan to drill in order to stay ahead of their rigs. BLM does not have the reporting capability to create statistical timelines of the 24 different review steps in the database.
8. BLM's aggregate data about the onshore oil and gas program regarding APD timelines, and APDs spud but not drilled are collected inconsistently and released publicly just once a year, which does not give visibility to the ebb and flow as permits are approved and then get drilled. BLM's annually released chart on [APD processing times](#) is of dubious quality, as field offices track processing time very differently, and do not start and stop the clock in any consistent manner. Without consistent data, it is impossible for BLM to draw conclusions about the average amount of time spent by operators versus BLM, or even to have any confidence that the overall average processing time is valid. Poor data misleads the public.

Recommendations

1. BLM should work with Congress on a bill to limit the federal nexus to situations only where federal lands are involved and/or there is a majority of federal minerals. The federal

government would then receive royalties as any other minority mineral owner through a normal pooling/unitization agreement. The Interstate Oil & Gas Compact Commission (IOGCC), a multi-state government agency representing oil and natural gas producing states, has issued [a resolution](#) urging delegation to the states for approval of drilling permits on federal public land.

2. BLM should issue an IM or other policy guidance to prevent field offices from requiring tribal consultation on private and state lands. The guidance should specify that a Class III Cultural Inventory approved by BLM provides the information necessary to approve the APD. The inventories must be conducted by appropriately credentialed archeological experts, which may be contractors.
3. In an overall permitting IM or further guidance resulting from Secretarial Order 3354, BLM should direct field offices to follow established regulations and onshore orders when requesting information from operators for their APDs, and prohibit them from requiring extraneous analysis and surveys.
4. Congress and the Administration should work on legislation to delegate authority to state agencies for approving drilling and completion permits, leaving BLM free to focus on its core mission of managing federal lands and surface use. Short of legislation, BLM could enter into memoranda of understanding (MOU) with the states to delegate many downhole permitting aspects to the states, short of final official approval by BLM.
5. In an overall permitting IM or further guidance resulting from Secretarial Order 3354, BLM should direct all field offices to issue CXs when any of the Section 390 criteria are met. BLM's NEPA handbook already provides that direction, so a rewrite to it is not required. Environmental analyses for surface impacts should rely on the RMP and not require redundant analysis; permit applications should only need to restate the RMP's requirements.
6. AFMSS II should be simplified to only record basic information such as well location and company information, while allowing for attachments that provide the more detailed drilling and surface use plans. BLM should limit the amount of information required in an APD to what is set forth in federal regulations, specifically Onshore Order 1, and what is necessary to abide by lease stipulations. These documentation requirements should be consistent across field offices. Finally, BLM should accept applications through the old Well Information System or via paper copy until AFMSS II's glitches have been resolved.
7. If AFMSS II continues to be the sole system for processing APDs, companies should be able to query each of their APDs in the system and see where they are in the process. BLM should also provide a description of each of the 24 steps in the review process to help operators better understand what each step entails and provide a better sense of general timelines. Equipping operators with this knowledge of the system would allow them to identify when there may be a problem with the APD that could be resolved by operator outreach to BLM.

8. AFMSS II should be updated to enable BLM to track data regarding APD processing times in a consistent manner across all states, and make these data available in a consistent manner at the field office, state, and national level. Short of that system upgrade, BLM should release the raw data for APD processing times, and wells spud but not drilled, as it does for the basic leasing data and raw APD numbers. Doing so would make the data released annually on the Oil & Gas Statistics page consistent and better inform the public.

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:32 AM

Conversation Contents

Declined: Updated Invitation: Mitigation Meeting @ Wed May 3, 2017 12:30pm - 1:30pm (EDT) (smcdonald@ipaa.org)

Attachments:

/2. Declined: Updated Invitation: Mitigation Meeting @ Wed May 3, 2017 12:30pm - 1:30pm (EDT) (smcdonald@ipaa.org)/1.1 invite.ics

Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>

From: Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>
Sent: Mon May 01 2017 13:25:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov"
<katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Declined: Updated Invitation: Mitigation Meeting @ Wed May 3, 2017 12:30pm - 1:30pm (EDT) (smcdonald@ipaa.org)
Attachments: invite.ics

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:33 AM

Conversation Contents

IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates

Attachments:

/3. IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates/3.1 GASG Contact List.xls
/3. IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates/4.1 GASG Contact List.xls

Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>

From: Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>
Sent: Mon Apr 24 2017 09:56:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates

Kate—

I hope you are settling in well and enjoying your new responsibilities. I'm reaching out to see if you would be interested in speaking to our Government Affairs Strategy Group (GASG) for our next meeting in May. We try to get our members who are in town together once a month to hear from a person of influence. Past speakers have included Neil Chatterjee, Matt Kellogg, Sen. Heidi Heitkamp, Sen. Gardner etc. It is a closed-door, off-the-record conversation where the speaker usually gives 15 mins of brief remarks of whatever is pertinent to what they are working on and then we open it up for questions and discussion. We always keep it to an hour and usually start around 8:30AM but we could start as early as 8AM or anytime that you are available. The location is our office (1201 15th Street NW) and we keep it pretty casual.

If you are interested, We have a few tentative date possibilities of May 17th, 18th, or 23rd. You would know many (if not all) of the faces in the room and I know everyone would be thrilled to hear from you. Please let me know if this is something you are interested in and what works for your schedule.

Thanks,

Mallori Miller

Director of Government Relations
Independent Petroleum Association of America
202.857.4722 / mmiller@ipaa.org / www.ipaa.org

"Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Mon Apr 24 2017 11:52:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>
CC: "kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

Subject:

Re: IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates

Hey - Can you send me a few more details about who will be in attendance and what topics you envision folks wanting to hear about?

On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 11:56 AM, Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kate—

I hope you are settling in well and enjoying your new responsibilities. I'm reaching out to see if you would be interested in speaking to our Government Affairs Strategy Group (GASG) for our next meeting in May. We try to get our members who are in town together once a month to hear from a person of influence. Past speakers have included Neil Chatterjee, Matt Kellogg, Sen. Heidi Heitkamp, Sen. Gardner etc. It is a closed-door, off-the-record conversation where the speaker usually gives 15 mins of brief remarks of whatever is pertinent to what they are working on and then we open it up for questions and discussion. We always keep it to an hour and usually start around 8:30AM but we could start as early as 8AM or anytime that you are available. The location is our office (1201 15th Street NW) and we keep it pretty casual.

If you are interested, We have a few tentative date possibilities of May 17th, 18th, or 23rd. You would know many (if not all) of the faces in the room and I know everyone would be thrilled to hear from you. Please let me know if this is something you are interested in and what works for your schedule.

Thanks,

Mallori Miller

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

202.857.4722 / mmiller@ipaa.org / www.ipaa.org

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>

From: Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>
Sent: Mon Apr 24 2017 12:35:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Re: IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates
Attachments: GASG Contact List.xls

Kate—

Attached is the list for our GASG events – it's just emails because my boss keeps track of this list, not our actual membership department. This is an in-person only event without a call-in line so we can keep discussion open.

In terms of topics, I think our group would really be interested in hearing about the many executive orders that have been released, any prospective planning for the coming months, how DOI plans to reverse some of the damaging regulations from the previous Administration etc. Let me stress that the format is very informal and more of a roundtable setting rather than a traditional presentation. A 15 minute run-down on what you have been working on would suffice before opening things up for questions and discussion.

We typically get 20 – 25 attendees, though I anticipate we would have a pretty packed room for you. Let me know if there is anything else I can answer.

Thanks for considering,

Mallori

From: Macgregor, Katharine [mailto:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 1:52 PM

To: Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>

Cc: kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Subject: Re: IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates

Hey - Can you send me a few more details about who will be in attendance and what topics you envision folks wanting to hear about?

On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 11:56 AM, Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kate—

I hope you are settling in well and enjoying your new responsibilities. I'm reaching out to see if you would be interested in speaking to our Government Affairs Strategy Group (GASG) for our next meeting in May. We try to get our members who are in town together once a month to hear from a person of influence. Past speakers have included Neil Chatterjee, Matt Kellogg, Sen. Heidi Heitkamp, Sen. Gardner etc. It is a closed-door, off-the-record conversation where the speaker usually gives 15 mins of brief remarks of whatever is pertinent to what they are working on and then we open it up for questions and discussion. We always keep it to an hour and usually start around 8:30AM but we could start as early as 8AM or anytime that you are available. The location is our office (1201 15th Street NW) and we keep it pretty casual.

If you are interested, We have a few tentative date possibilities of May 17th, 18th, or 23rd. You would know many (if not all) of the faces in the room and I know everyone would be thrilled to hear from you. Please let me know if this is something you are interested in and what works for your schedule.

Thanks,

Mallori Miller

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

202.857.4722 / mmiller@ipaa.org / www.ipaa.org

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

"MacGregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "MacGregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Mon Apr 24 2017 14:40:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Williams, Timothy" <timothy_williams@ios.doi.gov>, Megan Bloomgren <megan_bloomgren@ios.doi.gov>, "Magallanes, Downey" <downey_magallanes@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates
Attachments: GASG Contact List.xls

Hey folks - Can you shoot this through the public speaking process? What do you need from us?

-K

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mallori Miller** <MMiller@ipaa.org>
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 2:35 PM
Subject: Re: IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates
To: "kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

Kate—

Attached is the list for our GASG events – it's just emails because my boss keeps track of this list, not our actual membership department. This is an in-person only event without a call-in line so we can keep discussion open.

In terms of topics, I think our group would really be interested in hearing about the many executive orders that have been released, any prospective planning for the coming months, how DOI plans to reverse some of the damaging regulations from the previous Administration etc. Let me stress that the format is very informal and more of a roundtable setting rather than a traditional presentation. A 15 minute run-down on what you have been working on would suffice before opening things up for questions and discussion.

We typically get 20 – 25 attendees, though I anticipate we would have a pretty packed room for you. Let me know if there is anything else I can answer.

Thanks for considering,

Mallori

From: Macgregor, Katharine [mailto:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 1:52 PM
To: Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>
Cc: kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Subject: Re: IPAA Speaking Request: Mid-may dates

Hey - Can you send me a few more details about who will be in attendance and what topics you envision folks wanting to hear about?

On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 11:56 AM, Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kate—

I hope you are settling in well and enjoying your new responsibilities. I'm reaching out to see if you

would be interested in speaking to our Government Affairs Strategy Group (GASG) for our next meeting in May. We try to get our members who are in town together once a month to hear from a person of influence. Past speakers have included Neil Chatterjee, Matt Kellogg, Sen. Heidi Heitkamp, Sen. Gardner etc. It is a closed-door, off-the-record conversation where the speaker usually gives 15 mins of brief remarks of whatever is pertinent to what they are working on and then we open it up for questions and discussion. We always keep it to an hour and usually start around 8:30AM but we could start as early as 8AM or anytime that you are available. The location is our office (1201 15th Street NW) and we keep it pretty casual.

If you are interested, We have a few tentative date possibilities of May 17th, 18th, or 23rd. You would know many (if not all) of the faces in the room and I know everyone would be thrilled to hear from you. Please let me know if this is something you are interested in and what works for your schedule.

Thanks,

Mallori Miller

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

202.857.4722 / mmiller@ipaa.org / www.ipaa.org

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

amodiano@usoga.org
alehr@foleyhoag.com
ashaw@mckennalong.com
bmcqueen@mckennalong.com
bob.moran@halliburton.com
brian.malnak@shell.com
Dana.Sigalos@conocophillips.com
gsmith@foleyhoag.com
g washington@chevrontexaco.com
greg.pensabene@anadarko.com
jack.ekstrom@whiting.com
jschendle@alpinegroup.com
jkupfer@atlasamerica.com
kristin.whitman@shell.com
lindsey_dingmore@xtoenergy.com
mbmurphy@stratanm.com
sgreenwood@mckennalong.com
rjlyerly@carpiclay.com
rsawaya@research-able.com
rangerr@api.org
roger.wallace@pxd.com
sara.glenn@shell.com
wiley.jones@tac-dc.com
Marnie.Funk@shell.com
jhrobsky@bhfs.com
sarah.gainer@chk.com
matt.armstrong@bakerhughes.com
Matthew.Armstrong@bakerhughes.com
tiffany@tlstrategies.com
gdbaker@wms-jen.com
jvorberger@noia.org
mbel@noia.org
rebecca.rosen@dvn.com
Frank.Fannon@BHPBilliton.com
_MICHOL@statoil.com
Kari.Smith@conocophillips.com
kevin.j.avery@conocophillips.com
cynthia.stinger@urs.com
cbradley@sblstrategies.com
TommyJohnson@consolenergy.com
lschaaff@hess.com
perrico@sm-energy.com
bill.tanner@iadc.org
bthompson@axpc.us
ldjohnson@bhfs.com
Tadd.Owens@pxd.com
Rick_Plaeger@eogresources.com
rwhitmire@enervest.net
john.dill@chk.com
brianwoodard@chk.com
nllorentzatos@oasispetroleum.com
dblackmon@linnenergy.com

jwilson@sandridgeenergy.com
whufford@talismanusa.com
jtramuto@swn.com
dzavadil@billbarrettcorp.com
jfurnace@hilcorp.com
steve_rusch@fmi.com
scasey@concho.com
kjohnson@cimarex.com
Kristen.Lingley@encana.com
Don.W.McNeill@conocophillips.com
tstewart@usoga.org
cindy.rayburn@energen.com
BSimmons@newfield.com
Matthew.Most@encana.com
Stephanie@legerstrategies.com
john_martini@fmi.com
lmiller@miller-energy.com
cleach@concho.com
pleggette@bakerlaw.com
sjones@enervest.net
Elizabeth.Craddock@iadc.org
TLyons@hess.com
tbossert@rangeresources.com
_whufford@repsol.com
jdawley@eqt.com
bkelly@bkstrategies.com
wendy.kirchoff@nblenergy.com
Brian.miller@nblenergy.com
Jeffrey.Wilson@wpenergy.com
bill.cadman@whiting.com
Mike_McGraw@oxy.com
Jessica.Franks@Halliburton.com
'heather_smith@eogresources.com'
kjersten.s.drager@conocophillips.com
ashley.mcnamee@whiting.com
mark.gipson@pxd.com
brandon@frc-dc.com

amodiano@usoga.org
alehr@foleyhoag.com
ashaw@mckennalong.com
bmcqueen@mckennalong.com
bob.moran@halliburton.com
brian.malnak@shell.com
Dana.Sigalos@conocophillips.com
gsmith@foleyhoag.com
gwashington@chevrontexaco.com
greg.pensabene@anadarko.com
jack.ekstrom@whiting.com
jschendle@alpinegroup.com
jkupfer@atlasamerica.com
kristin.whitman@shell.com
lindsey_dingmore@xtoenergy.com
mbmurphy@stratanm.com
sgreenwood@mckennalong.com
rjlyerly@carpiclay.com
rsawaya@research-able.com
rangerr@api.org
roger.wallace@pxd.com
sara.glenn@shell.com
wiley.jones@tac-dc.com
Marnie.Funk@shell.com
jhrobsky@bhfs.com
sarah.gainer@chk.com
matt.armstrong@bakerhughes.com
Matthew.Armstrong@bakerhughes.com
tiffany@tlstrategies.com
gdbaker@wms-jen.com
jvorberger@noia.org
mbel@noia.org
rebecca.rosen@dvn.com
Frank.Fannon@BHPBilliton.com
_MICHOL@statoil.com
Kari.Smith@conocophillips.com
kevin.j.avery@conocophillips.com
cynthia.stinger@urs.com
cbradley@sblstrategies.com
TommyJohnson@consolenergy.com
lschaaff@hess.com
perrico@sm-energy.com
bill.tanner@iadc.org
bthompson@axpc.us
ldjohnson@bhfs.com
Tadd.Owens@pxd.com
Rick_Plaeger@eogresources.com
rwhitmire@enervest.net
john.dill@chk.com
brianwoodard@chk.com
nllorentzatos@oasispetroleum.com
dblackmon@linnenergy.com

jwilson@sandridgeenergy.com
whufford@talismanusa.com
jtramuto@swn.com
dzavadil@billbarrettcorp.com
jfurnace@hilcorp.com
steve_rusch@fmi.com
scasey@concho.com
kjohnson@cimarex.com
Kristen.Lingley@encana.com
Don.W.McNeill@conocophillips.com
tstewart@usoga.org
cindy.rayburn@energen.com
BSimmons@newfield.com
Matthew.Most@encana.com
Stephanie@legerstrategies.com
john_martini@fmi.com
lmiller@miller-energy.com
cleach@concho.com
pleggette@bakerlaw.com
sjones@enervest.net
Elizabeth.Craddock@iadc.org
TLyons@hess.com
tbossert@rangeresources.com
_whufford@repsol.com
jdawley@eqt.com
bkelly@bkstrategies.com
wendy.kirchoff@nblenergy.com
Brian.miller@nblenergy.com
Jeffrey.Wilson@wpenergy.com
bill.cadman@whiting.com
Mike_McGraw@oxy.com
Jessica.Franks@Halliburton.com
'heather_smith@eogresources.com'
kjersten.s.drager@conocophillips.com
ashley.mcnamee@whiting.com
mark.gipson@pxd.com
brandon@frc-dc.com

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:33 AM

Conversation Contents

BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Attachments:

/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/1.1 image001.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/1.2 image002.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/2.1 image001.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/2.2 image002.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/3.1 image001.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/3.2 image002.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/4.1 image001.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/4.2 image002.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/5.1 image001.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/5.2 image002.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/8.1 image002.jpg
/4. BLM Issue in Oklahoma/8.2 image001.jpg

Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>

From: Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>
Sent: Wed Mar 29 2017 10:01:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov" <kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>,
"kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
CC: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: BLM Issue in Oklahoma
Attachments: image001.jpg image002.jpg

Kathy and Kate:

We have a company experiencing some difficulty with BLM in Oklahoma and I was hoping you may be able to help.

As you can see from Cimarex's note below, they think it's a BLM staffing issue but are in danger of losing a lease if they can't get the agency to respond. Could you please put Jayme Cox in contact with someone at the agency who may be able to help her? Jayme (cc'd) can provide more details, if needed.

Thanks in advance for the consideration! ...And thanks for all the hard work put into the EO and Sec. Orders today.

Kindest regards,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

From: Jayme Cox [mailto:JCox@cimarex.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Samantha McDonald
Subject: need advice

Sam,
We are having an incredibly hard time with the BLM on getting an APD to drill in Oklahoma. Wondering if you are hearing the same from others? It seems they are really short of personnel, and the new field director only stayed a week! Anyway, its crazy and we are about to lose a lease if we can get it by the end of April There's way more to the story, but I wont try to explain it here. The long and short of is that Krista Johnson is out of town and I don't have any DC contacts at BLM or DOI, but I'm wondering if you've got any advice for us. I can be reached on cell below.

Thanks, Jayme

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

[CIMAREX
logo for](#)

Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>

From: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Sent: Wed Mar 29 2017 11:48:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>, "kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov" <kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>, "kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
CC: Terri Stathem <TStathem@cimarex.com>
Subject: RE: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma
Attachments: image001.jpg image002.jpg

Kathy and Kate,

In addition to the info Sam provides below, I spoke to Bruce Thompson at AXPC, and he says he has spoken recently with several other companies on a trip through the southwest, who are all having similar issues. We would appreciate any assistance you can give. I am copying our regulatory specialist, Terri Stathem on this email. Ideally, we would like to set up a conference call with you to share more specifics.

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY

202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX
logo for

From: Samantha McDonald [mailto:SMcDonald@ipaa.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:01 AM
To: kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov; kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Cc: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kathy and Kate:

We have a company experiencing some difficulty with BLM in Oklahoma and I was hoping you may be able to help.

As you can see from Cimarex's note below, they think it's a BLM staffing issue but are in danger of losing a lease if they can't get the agency to respond. Could you please put Jayme Cox in contact with someone at the agency who may be able to help her? Jayme (cc'd) can provide more details, if needed.

Thanks in advance for the consideration! ...And thanks for all the hard work put into the EO and Sec. Orders today.

Kindest regards,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations
Independent Petroleum Association of America
(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

From: Jayme Cox [mailto:JCox@cimarex.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Samantha McDonald
Subject: need advice

Sam,

We are having an incredibly hard time with the BLM on getting an APD to drill in Oklahoma. Wondering if you are hearing the same from others? It seems they are really short of personnel, and the new field director only stayed a week! Anyway, its crazy and we are about to lose a lease if we can get it by the end of April There's way more to the story, but I wont try to explain it here. The long and short of is that Krista Johnson is out of town and I don't have any DC contacts at BLM or DOI, but I'm wondering if you've got any advice for us. I can be reached on cell below.

Thanks, Jayme

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY

202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX
logo for

"Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Mon Apr 03 2017 13:48:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>
Subject: Fwd: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma
Attachments: image001.jpg image002.jpg

Mike - Does this sound familiar to you at all?
-K

On Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 1:48 PM, Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com> wrote:

Kathy and Kate,

In addition to the info Sam provides below, I spoke to Bruce Thompson at AXPC, and he says he has spoken recently with several other companies on a trip through the southwest, who are all having similar issues. We would appreciate any assistance you can give. I am copying our regulatory specialist, Terri Stathem on this email. Ideally, we would like to set up a conference call with you to share more specifics.

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX
logo for

From: Samantha McDonald [mailto:SMcDonald@ipaa.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:01 AM
To: kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov; kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Cc: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kathy and Kate:

We have a company experiencing some difficulty with BLM in Oklahoma and I was hoping you may be able to help.

As you can see from Cimarex's note below, they think it's a BLM staffing issue but are in danger of losing a lease if they can't get the agency to respond. Could you please put Jayme Cox in contact with someone at the agency who may be able to help her? Jayme (cc'd) can provide more details, if needed.

Thanks in advance for the consideration! ...And thanks for all the hard work put into the EO and Sec. Orders today.

Kindest regards,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations
Independent Petroleum Association of America
(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

From: Jayme Cox [<mailto:JCox@cimarex.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Samantha McDonald
Subject: need advice

Sam,
We are having an incredibly hard time with the BLM on getting an APD to drill in Oklahoma. Wondering if you are hearing the same from others? It seems they are really short of personnel, and the new field director only stayed a week! Anyway, its crazy and we are about to lose a lease if we can get it by the end of April There's way more to the story, but I wont try to explain it here. The long and short of is that Krista Johnson is out of town and I don't have any DC contacts at BLM or DOI, but I'm wondering if you've got any advice for us. I can be reached on cell below.

Thanks, Jayme

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX
logo for

--
Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>

From: Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>
Sent: Mon Apr 03 2017 17:00:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Katharine Macgregor <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma
Attachments: image001.jpg image002.jpg

Kate,

I did not hear about this and will check on it...

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael D. Nedd
202-208-3801 Office
202-208-5242 Fax
mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

From: Macgregor, Katharine [mailto:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 3:48 PM
To: Michael Nedd
Subject: Fwd: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Mike - Does this sound familiar to you at all?
-K

On Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 1:48 PM, Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com> wrote:
Kathy and Kate,

In addition to the info Sam provides below, I spoke to Bruce Thompson at AXPC, and he says he has spoken recently with several other companies on a trip through the southwest, who are all having similar issues. We would appreciate any assistance you can give. I am copying our regulatory specialist, Terri Stathem on this email. Ideally, we would like to set up a conference call with you to share more specifics.

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX logo for

From: Samantha McDonald [mailto:SMcDonald@ipaa.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:01 AM
To: kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov; kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Cc: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kathy and Kate:

We have a company experiencing some difficulty with BLM in Oklahoma and I was hoping you may be able to help.

As you can see from Cimarex's note below, they think it's a BLM staffing issue but are in danger of losing a lease if they can't get the agency to respond. Could you please put Jayme Cox in contact with someone at the agency who may be able to help her? Jayme (cc'd) can provide more details, if needed.

Thanks in advance for the consideration! ...And thanks for all the hard work put into the EO and Sec. Orders today.

Kindest regards,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)



From: Jayme Cox [<mailto:JCox@cimarex.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:15 AM

To: Samantha McDonald

Subject: need advice

Sam,

We are having an incredibly hard time with the BLM on getting an APD to drill in Oklahoma.

Wondering if you are hearing the same from others? It seems they are really short of personnel, and the new field director only stayed a week! Anyway, its crazy and we are about to lose a lease if we can get it by the end of April There's way more to the story, but I wont try to explain it here. The long and short of is that Krista Johnson is out of town and I don't have any DC contacts at BLM or DOI, but I'm wondering if you've got any advice for us. I can be reached on cell below.

Thanks, Jayme

Jayme Cox

Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY

202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000

Tulsa, OK 74103

918.560.7073 office

512.299.0680 cell



--

Kate MacGregor

1849 C ST NW

Room 6625

Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>

From: Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>
Sent: Tue Apr 04 2017 10:46:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Katharine Macgregor <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
CC: Kathleen Benedetto <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>, Jerome Perez <jperez@blm.gov>, Amy Lueders <alueders@blm.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma
Attachments: image001.jpg image002.jpg

Kate,

Amy and her team is on top of this issue and it's my understanding that they have reached out to the affected companies and also the consulting tribes and SHPO asking for an expedited review. As of Friday afternoon, BLM-NM have received responses from most of the consulting tribes who have expressed no concerns. They are awaiting input from the SHPO and two more tribes.

Amy will keep us in the loop on this effort...

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael D. Nedd
202-208-3801 Office
202-208-5242 Fax
mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

From: Michael Nedd [mailto:mnedd@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 7:01 PM
To: Katharine Macgregor
Subject: RE: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kate,

I did not hear about this and will check on it...

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael D. Nedd
202-208-3801 Office
202-208-5242 Fax
mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

From: Macgregor, Katharine [mailto:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 3:48 PM
To: Michael Nedd
Subject: Fwd: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Mike - Does this sound familiar to you at all?
-K

On Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 1:48 PM, Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com> wrote:
Kathy and Kate,

In addition to the info Sam provides below, I spoke to Bruce Thompson at AXPC, and he says he has

spoken recently with several other companies on a trip through the southwest, who are all having similar issues. We would appreciate any assistance you can give. I am copying our regulatory specialist, Terri Stathem on this email. Ideally, we would like to set up a conference call with you to share more specifics.

Jayne Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX
logo for

From: Samantha McDonald [mailto:SMcDonald@ipaa.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:01 AM
To: kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov; kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Cc: Jayne Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kathy and Kate:

We have a company experiencing some difficulty with BLM in Oklahoma and I was hoping you may be able to help.

As you can see from Cimarex's note below, they think it's a BLM staffing issue but are in danger of losing a lease if they can't get the agency to respond. Could you please put Jayne Cox in contact with someone at the agency who may be able to help her? Jayne (cc'd) can provide more details, if needed.

Thanks in advance for the consideration! ...And thanks for all the hard work put into the EO and Sec. Orders today.

Kindest regards,

Sam

Samantha McDonald
Director of Government Relations
Independent Petroleum Association of America
(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

From: Jayne Cox [mailto:JCox@cimarex.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Samantha McDonald
Subject: need advice

Sam,
We are having an incredibly hard time with the BLM on getting an APD to drill in Oklahoma. Wondering if you are hearing the same from others? It seems they are really short of personnel, and the new field director only stayed a week! Anyway, its crazy and we are about to lose a lease if we can get it by the end of April There's way more to the story, but I wont try to explain it here. The long and short of is that Krista Johnson is out of town and I don't have any DC contacts at BLM or DOI, but I'm

wondering if you've got any advice for us. I can be reached on cell below.

Thanks, Jayme

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX
logo for

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

Katharine Macgregor <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: Katharine Macgregor <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Tue Apr 11 2017 15:39:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>
CC: Kathleen Benedetto <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>, Jerome Perez <jperez@blm.gov>, Amy Lueders <alueders@blm.gov>
Subject: Re: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Thanks Mike - So what they said was true? We can chat at our mtg this week.

- K

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 4, 2017, at 12:46 PM, Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov> wrote:

Kate,

Amy and her team is on top of this issue and it's my understanding that they have reached out to the affected companies and also the consulting tribes and SHPO asking for an expedited review. As of Friday afternoon, BLM-NM have received responses from most of the consulting tribes who have expressed no concerns. They are awaiting input from the SHPO and two more tribes.

Amy will keep us in the loop on this effort...

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael D. Nedd
202-208-3801 Office
202-208-5242 Fax
mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

From: Michael Nedd [mailto:mnedd@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 7:01 PM
To: Katharine Macgregor
Subject: RE: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kate,

I did not hear about this and will check on it...

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael D. Nedd
202-208-3801 Office
202-208-5242 Fax
mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

From: Macgregor, Katharine [mailto:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 3:48 PM
To: Michael Nedd
Subject: Fwd: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Mike - Does this sound familiar to you at all?
-K

On Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 1:48 PM, Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com> wrote:
Kathy and Kate,

In addition to the info Sam provides below, I spoke to Bruce Thompson at AXPC, and he says he has spoken recently with several other companies on a trip through the southwest, who are all having similar issues. We would appreciate any assistance you can give. I am copying our regulatory specialist, Terri Stathem on this email. Ideally, we would like to set up a conference call with you to share more specifics.

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell
<image001.jpg>

From: Samantha McDonald [mailto:SMcDonald@ipaa.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:01 AM
To: kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov; kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Cc: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kathy and Kate:

We have a company experiencing some difficulty with BLM in Oklahoma and I was hoping you may be able to help.

As you can see from Cimarex's note below, they think it's a BLM staffing issue but are in danger of losing a lease if they can't get the agency to respond. Could you please put Jayme Cox in contact with someone at the agency who may be able to help her? Jayme (cc'd) can provide more details, if needed.

Thanks in advance for the consideration! ...And thanks for all the hard work put into the EO and Sec. Orders today.

Kindest regards,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

<image002.jpg>

From: Jayme Cox [<mailto:JCox@cimarex.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:15 AM

To: Samantha McDonald

Subject: need advice

Sam,

We are having an incredibly hard time with the BLM on getting an APD to drill in Oklahoma. Wondering if you are hearing the same from others? It seems they are really short of personnel, and the new field director only stayed a week! Anyway, its crazy and we are about to lose a lease if we can get it by the end of April. There's way more to the story, but I won't try to explain it here. The long and short of it is that Krista Johnson is out of town and I don't have any DC contacts at BLM or DOI, but I'm wondering if you've got any advice for us. I can be reached on cell below.

Thanks, Jayme

Jayme Cox

Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY

202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000

Tulsa, OK 74103

918.560.7073 office

512.299.0680 cell

<image001.jpg>

--

Kate MacGregor

1849 C ST NW

Room 6625

Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>

From: Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>
Sent: Thu Apr 13 2017 17:16:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Katharine Macgregor <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
CC: Kathleen Benedetto <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

See Amy's update below:

Just a short update on the status of the OK (Cimarex) APD issue. We have received the needed concurrences this week. We anticipate issuing the APD tomorrow (4/14). The OK office has been having regular communication with the Cimarex regulatory compliance shop. BLM also had an after action review with Cimarex on 4/5. Cimarex discussed their drilling schedule through the end of the year in addition to providing their APD priority list. This should help with workload planning and prioritization.

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael D. Nedd
202-208-3801 Office
202-208-5242 Fax
mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

From: Katharine Macgregor [mailto:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 5:39 PM
To: Michael Nedd
Cc: Kathleen Benedetto; Jerome Perez; Amy Lueders
Subject: Re: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Thanks Mike - So what they said was true? We can chat at our mtg this week.
- K

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 4, 2017, at 12:46 PM, Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov> wrote:

Kate,

Amy and her team is on top of this issue and it's my understanding that they have reached out to the affected companies and also the consulting tribes and SHPO asking for an expedited review. As of Friday afternoon, BLM-NM have received responses from most of the consulting tribes who have expressed no concerns. They are awaiting input from the SHPO and two more tribes.

Amy will keep us in the loop on this effort...

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael D. Nedd
202-208-3801 Office

202-208-5242 Fax
mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

From: Michael Nedd [mailto:mnedd@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 7:01 PM
To: Katharine Macgregor
Subject: RE: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kate,

I did not hear about this and will check on it...

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael D. Nedd
202-208-3801 Office
202-208-5242 Fax
mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

From: Macgregor, Katharine [mailto:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 3:48 PM
To: Michael Nedd
Subject: Fwd: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Mike - Does this sound familiar to you at all?
-K

On Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 1:48 PM, Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com> wrote:
Kathy and Kate,

In addition to the info Sam provides below, I spoke to Bruce Thompson at AXPC, and he says he has spoken recently with several other companies on a trip through the southwest, who are all having similar issues. We would appreciate any assistance you can give. I am copying our regulatory specialist, Terri Stathem on this email. Ideally, we would like to set up a conference call with you to share more specifics.

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell
<image001.jpg>

From: Samantha McDonald [mailto:SMcDonald@ipaa.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:01 AM
To: kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov; kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Cc: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kathy and Kate:

We have a company experiencing some difficulty with BLM in Oklahoma and I was hoping you may be able to help.

As you can see from Cimarex's note below, they think it's a BLM staffing issue but are in danger of losing a lease if they can't get the agency to respond. Could you please put Jayme Cox in contact with someone at the agency who may be able to help her? Jayme (cc'd) can provide more details, if needed.

Thanks in advance for the consideration! ...And thanks for all the hard work put into the EO and Sec. Orders today.

Kindest regards,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations
Independent Petroleum Association of America
(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)
<image002.jpg>

From: Jayme Cox [<mailto:JCox@cimarex.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Samantha McDonald
Subject: need advice

Sam,
We are having an incredibly hard time with the BLM on getting an APD to drill in Oklahoma. Wondering if you are hearing the same from others? It seems they are really short of personnel, and the new field director only stayed a week! Anyway, its crazy and we are about to lose a lease if we can get it by the end of April There's way more to the story, but I wont try to explain it here. The long and short of is that Krista Johnson is out of town and I don't have any DC contacts at BLM or DOI, but I'm wondering if you've got any advice for us. I can be reached on cell below.

Thanks, Jayme

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell
<image001.jpg>

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

"MacGregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "MacGregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Thu May 11 2017 06:11:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: Re: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma
Attachments: image002.jpg image001.jpg

Hey Jayme - Just reached out to see if Kathy ever touched base with you/has this issue been resolved?

-Kate

On Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 1:48 PM, Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com> wrote:

Kathy and Kate,

In addition to the info Sam provides below, I spoke to Bruce Thompson at AXPC, and he says he has spoken recently with several other companies on a trip through the southwest, who are all having similar issues. We would appreciate any assistance you can give. I am copying our regulatory specialist, Terri Stathem on this email. Ideally, we would like to set up a conference call with you to share more specifics.

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX
logo for

From: Samantha McDonald [mailto:SMcDonald@ipaa.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:01 AM
To: kathy_benedetto@ios.doi.gov; kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Cc: Jayme Cox <JCox@cimarex.com>
Subject: [External] BLM Issue in Oklahoma

Kathy and Kate:

We have a company experiencing some difficulty with BLM in Oklahoma and I was hoping you may be able to help.

As you can see from Cimarex's note below, they think it's a BLM staffing issue but are in danger of losing a lease if they can't get the agency to respond. Could you please put Jayme Cox in contact with someone at the agency who may be able to help her? Jayme (cc'd) can provide more details, if needed.

Thanks in advance for the consideration! ...And thanks for all the hard work put into the EO and Sec. Orders today.

Kindest regards,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations
Independent Petroleum Association of America
(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

From: Jayme Cox [<mailto:JCox@cimarex.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Samantha McDonald
Subject: need advice

Sam,

We are having an incredibly hard time with the BLM on getting an APD to drill in Oklahoma. Wondering if you are hearing the same from others? It seems they are really short of personnel, and the new field director only stayed a week! Anyway, its crazy and we are about to lose a lease if we can get it by the end of April There's way more to the story, but I wont try to explain it here. The long and short of is that Krista Johnson is out of town and I don't have any DC contacts at BLM or DOI, but I'm wondering if you've got any advice for us. I can be reached on cell below.

Thanks, Jayme

Jayme Cox
Director, Government and External Affairs

CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY
202 S. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 1000
Tulsa, OK 74103
918.560.7073 office
512.299.0680 cell

CIMAREX
logo for

--
Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

CIMAREX



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

CIMAREX



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

CIMAREX



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

CIMAREX



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

CIMAREX



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

CIMAREX

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:33 AM

Conversation Contents

Mitigation Meeting

Attachments:

/5. Mitigation Meeting/1.1 image001.jpg
/5. Mitigation Meeting/2.1 image001.jpg
/5. Mitigation Meeting/3.1 image001.jpg
/5. Mitigation Meeting/4.1 image001.jpg
/5. Mitigation Meeting/5.1 image001.jpg

Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>

From: Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>
Sent: Mon Apr 03 2017 13:01:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov" <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>,
"casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov" <casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov>,
"kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Mitigation Meeting
Attachments: image001.jpg

Kathy, Casey and Kate:

IPAA is working in close coordination with Western Energy Alliance and AXPC to do some outreach on mitigation. Several of our mutual members will be in town on Thursday, April 27. Would you be able to sit down with us on this day?

Best,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image



"Hammond, Casey" <casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Hammond, Casey" <casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Wed Apr 05 2017 06:47:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>
"kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov"

CC: <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>,
"kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Re: Mitigation Meeting
Attachments: image001.jpg

Sure. the 27th works.

On Mon, Apr 3, 2017 at 3:01 PM, Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kathy, Casey and Kate:

IPAA is working in close coordination with Western Energy Alliance and AXPC to do some outreach on mitigation. Several of our mutual members will be in town on Thursday, April 27. Would you be able to sit down with us on this day?

Best,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>

From: Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>
Sent: Wed Apr 05 2017 06:51:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Hammond, Casey" <casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov>
"kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov"
CC: <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>,
"kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: RE: Mitigation Meeting
Attachments: image001.jpg

Terrific! Morning would work best for us. Would 9, 9:30 or 10AM work for you?

Confirmed attendees will include:

- Tripp Parks, Western Energy Alliance
- Kelly Heumoeller, QEP (and AXPC Mitigation Task Force Chair)
- Samantha McDonald, IPAA

We also expect a handful of other companies to be represented, once we confirm a time I can get you a more final list.

From: Hammond, Casey [mailto:casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 5, 2017 8:47 AM
To: Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>
Cc: kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov; kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov
Subject: Re: Mitigation Meeting

Sure. the 27th works.

On Mon, Apr 3, 2017 at 3:01 PM, Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kathy, Casey and Kate:

IPAA is working in close coordination with Western Energy Alliance and AXPC to do some outreach on mitigation. Several of our mutual members will be in town on Thursday, April 27. Would you be able to sit down with us on this day?

Best,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

"Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Wed Apr 05 2017 07:05:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Hammond, Casey" <casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov>
Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>,
"kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov"
CC: <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>,
"kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>,
"Anderson, Michael" <michael_anderson@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Re: Mitigation Meeting
Attachments: image001.jpg

Sure. CC'ing Michael to set it up. Michael - can you make sure Casey and Kathy B are included in the meeting invite? Thanks so much. Sam can you make sure you get all of the meeting attendees to Michael?

-K

On Wed, Apr 5, 2017 at 8:47 AM, Hammond, Casey <casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

Sure. the 27th works.

On Mon, Apr 3, 2017 at 3:01 PM, Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kathy, Casey and Kate:

IPAA is working in close coordination with Western Energy Alliance and AXPC to do some outreach on mitigation. Several of our mutual members will be in town on Thursday, April 27. Would you be able to sit down with us on this day?

Best,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations
Independent Petroleum Association of America
(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

"Anderson, Michael" <michael_anderson@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Anderson, Michael" <michael_anderson@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Wed Apr 05 2017 08:23:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
"Hammond, Casey" <casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov>, Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org>,
CC: "kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov" <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>,
"kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Re: Mitigation Meeting
Attachments: image001.jpg

Thanks, Kate, will do.

Michael

On Wed, Apr 5, 2017 at 9:05 AM, Macgregor, Katharine <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

Sure. CC'ing Michael to set it up. Michael - can you make sure Casey and Kathy B are included in the meeting invite? Thanks so much. Sam can you make sure you get all of the meeting attendees to Michael?

-K

On Wed, Apr 5, 2017 at 8:47 AM, Hammond, Casey <casey_hammond@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
Sure. the 27th works.

On Mon, Apr 3, 2017 at 3:01 PM, Samantha McDonald <SMcDonald@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kathy, Casey and Kate:

IPAA is working in close coordination with Western Energy Alliance and AXPc to do some outreach on mitigation. Several of our mutual members will be in town on Thursday, April 27. Would you be able to sit down with us on this day?

Best,

Sam

Samantha McDonald

Director of Government Relations

Independent Petroleum Association of America

(202)857-4722 / [Visit IPAA](#) / [Visit ESA Watch](#)

cid:image

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

--

Michael D. Anderson, MPA
Executive Asst, Office of the Assistant Secretary for
Land and Minerals Management
Dept of the Interior
202-208-2197



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA



IPAA

INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:33 AM

Conversation Contents

Western Energy Alliance Letter on the EO and the BLM Methane Rule

Attachments:

/6. Western Energy Alliance Letter on the EO and the BLM Methane Rule/1.1 Western Energy Alliance Letter on Executive Order and Methane Rule.pdf

Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>

From: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Sent: Tue Apr 04 2017 08:40:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Kate MacGregor (katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov)" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>, "Kathy Benedetto (kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov)" <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>
CC: "Mike Nedd (Mike_Nedd@blm.gov)" <Mike_Nedd@blm.gov>
Subject: Western Energy Alliance Letter on the EO and the BLM Methane Rule
Attachments: Western Energy Alliance Letter on Executive Order and Methane Rule.pdf

Hello Kathy and Kate,

Attached please find a letter thanking the Secretary for the Executive and Secretarial Orders regarding energy, and recommending next steps regarding the BLM methane rule in light of the litigation and the fact that companies are incurring implementation costs.

Please advise if there's a particular staff member that I should be communicating with on these matters. Thank you.

Kathleen Sgamma

President

Western Energy Alliance

1775 Sherman St., Suite 2700

Denver, CO 80203

(303) 501-1059 direct

(303) 623-0987 main

ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org

westernenergyalliance.org

[@KathleenSgamma](#)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the viewing use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:34 AM

Conversation Contents

Re: Compliance Dates for the BLM Venting & Flaring Rule

"Benedetto, Kathleen" <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Benedetto, Kathleen" <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Sat Apr 01 2017 11:10:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Dan Naatz <dnaatz@ipaa.org>, "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Re: Compliance Dates for the BLM Venting & Flaring Rule

Hi Dan,

I'm going to be out of town for a couple of days - so I'm forwarding this email to Kate. She will get in touch with you. KB

On Fri, Mar 31, 2017 at 3:33 PM, Dan Naatz <dnaatz@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kathy:

I hope all is well and congratulations on your new job.

The purpose of this email is to inquire about the status of the BLM venting and flaring rule. We are starting to hear from a number of our member companies that are spending significant amounts of capital to comply with the rule. In light of President Trump's Executive Order (EO) this week regarding energy independence and since the V/F rule was specifically mentioned in the EO, are there plans by BLM to put the rule on hold and delay the compliance date of the rule? I do not even know if a hold or delay of the compliance date is possible, but wanted to inquire about this matter.

Thanks for your help.

Dan Naatz

IPAA

(202) 857-4722

--
Kathleen Benedetto
Special Assistant to the Secretary
Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
(202) 208-5934

Dan Naatz <dnaatz@ipaa.org>

From: Dan Naatz <dnaatz@ipaa.org>
Sent: Mon Apr 03 2017 06:06:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Benedetto, Kathleen" <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>
CC: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>, Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>
Subject: Re: Compliance Dates for the BLM Venting & Flaring Rule

Kathy:

Thanks for your help.

Dan

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 1, 2017, at 1:10 PM, Benedetto, Kathleen <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

Hi Dan,

I'm going to be out of town for a couple of days - so I'm forwarding this email to Kate. She will get in touch with you. KB

On Fri, Mar 31, 2017 at 3:33 PM, Dan Naatz <dnaatz@ipaa.org> wrote:

Kathy:

I hope all is well and congratulations on your new job.

The purpose of this email is to inquire about the status of the BLM venting and flaring rule. We are starting to hear from a number of our member companies that are spending significant amounts of capital to comply with the rule. In light of President Trump's Executive Order (EO) this week regarding energy independence and since the V/F rule was specifically mentioned in the EO, are there plans by BLM to put the rule on hold and delay the compliance date of the rule? I do not even know if a hold or delay

of the compliance date is possible, but wanted to inquire about this matter.

Thanks for your help.

Dan Naatz

IPAA

(202) 857-4722

--

Kathleen Benedetto

Special Assistant to the Secretary

Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

(202) 208-5934

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:34 AM

Conversation Contents

Mitigation Policy List

Attachments:

/8. Mitigation Policy List/1.1 Mitigation Documents.xlsx
/8. Mitigation Policy List/2.1 Mitigation Documents.xlsx

Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>

From: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Sent: Wed Mar 29 2017 13:47:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Kate MacGregor (katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov)"
<katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
CC: Tripp Parks <tparks@westernenergyalliance.org>
Subject: Mitigation Policy List
Attachments: Mitigation Documents.xlsx

Hello Kate,

We're very grateful for the Executive Order yesterday and Secretarial Order 3349 today. Clearly the President is listening to the policy people like you who really understand what needs to be done to clear out the federal overreach that is hampering American energy development and killing jobs.

If it's helpful as you identify all actions related to the 2015 Presidential Memorandum on Mitigation and Secretarial Order 3330, attached is a running list that Tripp and our joint trades mitigation group have been maintaining. The spreadsheet lists 29 policies, orders, and plans relating to mitigation that were issued in the past eight years, including 13 since 2015. Each document is dated by year and the issuing agency, and references are provided where available.

I hope it's useful. Let us know if there's anything we can help you with.

Kathleen Sgamma

President
Western Energy Alliance
1775 Sherman St., Suite 2700
Denver, CO 80203
(303) 501-1059 direct
(303) 623-0987 main
ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org
westernenergyalliance.org
[@KathleenSgamma](https://twitter.com/KathleenSgamma)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the viewing use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

"Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Wed Mar 29 2017 16:59:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Magallanes, Downey" <downey_magallanes@ios.doi.gov>, James Schindler <james_schindler@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Mitigation Policy List
Attachments: Mitigation Documents.xlsx

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kathleen Sgamma** <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Date: Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 3:47 PM
Subject: Mitigation Policy List
To: "Kate MacGregor" (katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov)"
<katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Cc: Tripp Parks <tparks@westernenergyalliance.org>

Hello Kate,

We're very grateful for the Executive Order yesterday and Secretarial Order 3349 today. Clearly the President is listening to the policy people like you who really understand what needs to be done to clear out the federal overreach that is hampering American energy development and killing jobs.

If it's helpful as you identify all actions related to the 2015 Presidential Memorandum on Mitigation and Secretarial Order 3330, attached is a running list that Tripp and our joint trades mitigation group have been maintaining. The spreadsheet lists 29 policies, orders, and plans relating to mitigation that were issued in the past eight years, including 13 since 2015. Each document is dated by year and the issuing agency, and references are provided where available.

I hope it's useful. Let us know if there's anything we can help you with.

Kathleen Sgamma
President
Western Energy Alliance
1775 Sherman St., Suite 2700
Denver, CO 80203
(303) 501-1059 direct
(303) 623-0987 main
ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org
westernenergyalliance.org
[@KathleenSgamma](https://www.instagram.com/KathleenSgamma)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the viewing use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

--

Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

"Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Wed Mar 29 2017 17:03:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Subject: Re: Mitigation Policy List

Thank you for your email and so great to hear from you. I just tried to give you a call. Hopefully we can catch up soon.
-K

On Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 3:47 PM, Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org> wrote:

Hello Kate,

We're very grateful for the Executive Order yesterday and Secretarial Order 3349 today. Clearly the President is listening to the policy people like you who really understand what needs to be done to clear out the federal overreach that is hampering American energy development and killing jobs.

If it's helpful as you identify all actions related to the 2015 Presidential Memorandum on Mitigation and Secretarial Order 3330, attached is a running list that Tripp and our joint trades mitigation group have been maintaining. The spreadsheet lists 29 policies, orders, and plans relating to mitigation that were issued in the past eight years, including 13 since 2015. Each document is dated by year and the issuing agency, and references are provided where available.

I hope it's useful. Let us know if there's anything we can help you with.

[Kathleen Sgamma](#)

President

Western Energy Alliance

1775 Sherman St., Suite 2700

Denver, CO 80203

(303) 501-1059 direct

(303) 623-0987 main

ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org

westernenergyalliance.org

[@KathleenSgamma](#)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the viewing use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

--
Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

Document Name
Departmental Manual Chapter on Adaptive Management Implementation Policy
Presidential Memorandum: Open Government Directive
Secretarial Order No. 3289: Addressing the Impacts of Climate Change on America's Water, Land, and Other Natural and Cultural Resources
Secretarial Order No. 3289A1: Addressing the Impacts of Climate Change on America's Water, Land, and Other Natural and Cultural Resources
Technical Note 439: Developing a Resource Management and Monitoring Protocol for a Semiarid Landscape with Extensive Oil and Gas Development Potential
BLM AIM Strategy (Assessment, Inventory and Monitoring)
Appropriate Use of Mitigation and Monitoring and Clarifying Appropriate Use of Mitigated Findings of No Significant Impact
Federal Agency Climate Change Adaptation Planning
Memorandum on Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution
Departmental Manual chapter on climate change policy
National Fish, Wildlife & Plants Climate Adaptation Strategy
Secretarial Order No. 3330: Improving Mitigation Policies and Practices of the DOI
Draft Regional Mitigation Manual Section 1794
DOI Climate Change Adaptation Plan
A Strategy for Improving the Mitigation Policies and Practices of the DOI
The BLM's National Strategy and Implementation Plan to Support and Enhance Partnerships 2014-2018
Greater Sage-Grouse Range-Wide Mitigation Framework
Implementing Mitigation at the Landscape-Scale
Presidential Memorandum: Mitigating Impacts on Natural Resources from Development and Encouraging Related Private Investment
Secretarial Order No. 3336: Rangeland Fire Prevention, Management, and Restoration Issues
Assessing Compensatory Mitigation Options for Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation
Advancing Science in the BLM; An Implementation Strategy
Revisions to the Regulations for Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances
Endangered Species Act Compensatory Mitigation Policy
Proposed Revisions to the US Fish and Wildlife Service Mitigation Policy
Notice: Joint US Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook
Landscape-Scale Mitigation in NEPA Analysis, Decision-Making and Implementation Monitoring

Final Guidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on
Consideration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and the Effects of
Climate Change in National Environmental Policy Act Reviews

September 1, 2016 Gunnison and Greater Sage-Grouse Instruction
Memoranda and Questions & Answers

Date
2008
2009
2009
2010
2011
2011
2011
2011
2011
2012
2012
2012
2013
2013
2014
2014
2014
2014
2014
2015
2015
2015
2015
2015
2016
2016
2016
2016
2016

2016
2016

Reference
522 DM 1
M-10-06
SO3289
SO3289A1
TN439
523 DM 1
DOI: 10.3996/082012-FWSReport-1
SO3330
MS-1794
600 DM 6
SO3336
81FR2016
81FR2016
81FR2016
81FR2016
ESM 16-2

Issued by
DOI
POTUS
DOI
DOI
BLM
BLM
CEQ
CEQ
OMB and CEQ
DOI
Inter-govern-mental working group
DOI
BLM
DOI
DOI
BLM
FWS
DOI
POTUS
DOI
TNC
BLM
FWS
FWS
FWS
FWS and NMFS
DOI

CEQ
BLM

Document Name
Departmental Manual Chapter on Adaptive Management Implementation Policy
Presidential Memorandum: Open Government Directive
Secretarial Order No. 3289: Addressing the Impacts of Climate Change on America's Water, Land, and Other Natural and Cultural Resources
Secretarial Order No. 3289A1: Addressing the Impacts of Climate Change on America's Water, Land, and Other Natural and Cultural Resources
Technical Note 439: Developing a Resource Management and Monitoring Protocol for a Semiarid Landscape with Extensive Oil and Gas Development Potential
BLM AIM Strategy (Assessment, Inventory and Monitoring)
Appropriate Use of Mitigation and Monitoring and Clarifying Appropriate Use of Mitigated Findings of No Significant Impact
Federal Agency Climate Change Adaptation Planning
Memorandum on Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution
Departmental Manual chapter on climate change policy
National Fish, Wildlife & Plants Climate Adaptation Strategy
Secretarial Order No. 3330: Improving Mitigation Policies and Practices of the DOI
Draft Regional Mitigation Manual Section 1794
DOI Climate Change Adaptation Plan
A Strategy for Improving the Mitigation Policies and Practices of the DOI
The BLM's National Strategy and Implementation Plan to Support and Enhance Partnerships 2014-2018
Greater Sage-Grouse Range-Wide Mitigation Framework
Implementing Mitigation at the Landscape-Scale
Presidential Memorandum: Mitigating Impacts on Natural Resources from Development and Encouraging Related Private Investment
Secretarial Order No. 3336: Rangeland Fire Prevention, Management, and Restoration Issues
Assessing Compensatory Mitigation Options for Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation
Advancing Science in the BLM; An Implementation Strategy
Revisions to the Regulations for Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances
Endangered Species Act Compensatory Mitigation Policy
Proposed Revisions to the US Fish and Wildlife Service Mitigation Policy
Notice: Joint US Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook
Landscape-Scale Mitigation in NEPA Analysis, Decision-Making and Implementation Monitoring

Final Guidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on
Consideration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and the Effects of
Climate Change in National Environmental Policy Act Reviews

September 1, 2016 Gunnison and Greater Sage-Grouse Instruction
Memoranda and Questions & Answers

Date
2008
2009
2009
2010
2011
2011
2011
2011
2011
2012
2012
2012
2013
2013
2014
2014
2014
2014
2014
2015
2015
2015
2015
2015
2016
2016
2016
2016
2016

2016
2016

Reference
522 DM 1
M-10-06
SO3289
SO3289A1
TN439
523 DM 1
DOI: 10.3996/082012-FWSReport-1
SO3330
MS-1794
600 DM 6
SO3336
81FR2016
81FR2016
81FR2016
81FR2016
ESM 16-2

Issued by
DOI
POTUS
DOI
DOI
BLM
BLM
CEQ
CEQ
OMB and CEQ
DOI
Inter-govern-mental working group
DOI
BLM
DOI
DOI
BLM
FWS
DOI
POTUS
DOI
TNC
BLM
FWS
FWS
FWS
FWS and NMFS
DOI

CEQ
BLM

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:34 AM

Conversation Contents

FW: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>

From: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Sent: Wed Mar 15 2017 13:09:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Subject: FW: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

Our take on the recent release of BLM's annual statistics. <https://www.blm.gov/programs/energy-and-minerals/oil-and-gas/oil-and-gas-statistics>

From: Aaron Johnson
Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 12:34 PM
To: Kathleen Sgamma
Subject: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration



Press Release
March 15, 2017



Contact: Aaron Johnson
Western Energy Alliance
303-501-1062

Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

DENVER – The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) released its data for fiscal year 2016 and all major indicators for the federal onshore oil and natural gas program are down. Western Energy Alliance offered the following comments to BLM's data:

"Who needs the Keep-It-in-the-Ground movement when you have the federal government doing the job itself," said Kathleen Sgamma, president at the Alliance. "Every major indicator of oil and natural gas activity on federal lands is down. There's a reason federal production lags far behind private and state lands. The redundant regulation and red tape over the past eight years have had their intended effects. We look forward to working with Interior Secretary Zinke to realize President Trump's vision of encouraging American energy development and job creation. The statistics speak for themselves."

	2008	2016	Percentage Change
Total Number of Leases in Effect	55,085	40,143	-27%
Total Number of Acres Under Lease	47,242,495	27,207,018	-42%
Number of Producing Leases	23,293	23,926	3%
Number of Producing Acres	14,543,425	12,771,829	-12%
Number of New Leases Issued	2,416	520	-78%
Number of New Leases Issued by Acreage	2,615,259	577,317	-78%
Number of Permits Approved	6,617	2,184	-67%
Total Number of Wells Drilled	5,044	847	-83%

"It's no wonder natural gas production is down on federal lands 15 percent even as it has increased nationwide by 66 percent, and the growth of federal oil production at 69 percent lags far behind nonfederal production, which has skyrocketed 115 percent," continued Sgamma. "The adversarial regulatory environment of the Obama Administration has had its intended effect. We hope the new administration will correct the bureaucratic foot dragging that has stifled responsible development on appropriate public lands and prevented tens of thousands of jobs. We call on the Senate to do its part as well by overturning the redundant BLM venting and flaring rule that usurps EPA and state authority."

###

Western Energy Alliance represents over 300 companies engaged in all aspects of environmentally responsible exploration and production of oil and natural gas in the West. Alliance members are independents, the majority of which are small businesses with an average of fifteen employees. Learn more at www.WesternEnergyAlliance.org.

Western Energy Alliance
1775 Sherman Street, Suite 2700, Denver, CO 80203
Phone: 303-623-0987 | Fax: 303-893-0709 | [Website](#)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the viewing use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

"Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Wed Mar 15 2017 17:22:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Subject: Re: FW: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

Hey thanks for sharing.
-K

On Wed, Mar 15, 2017 at 3:09 PM, Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org> wrote:
Our take on the recent release of BLM's annual statistics. <https://www.blm.gov/programs/energy-and-minerals/oil-and-gas/oil-and-gas-statistics>

From: Aaron Johnson
Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 12:34 PM
To: Kathleen Sgamma
Subject: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration



Press Release
March 15, 2017



Contact: Aaron Johnson
Western Energy Alliance
303-501-1062

Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

DENVER – The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) released its data for fiscal year 2016 and all major indicators for the federal onshore oil and natural gas program are down. Western Energy Alliance offered the following comments to BLM's data:

"Who needs the Keep-It-in-the-Ground movement when you have the federal government doing the job itself," said Kathleen Sgamma, president at the Alliance. "Every major indicator of oil and natural gas activity on federal lands is down. There's a reason federal production lags far behind private and state lands. The redundant regulation and red tape over the past eight years have had their intended effects. We look forward to working with Interior Secretary Zinke to realize President Trump's vision of encouraging American energy development and job creation. The statistics speak for themselves."

	2008	2016	Percentage Change
Total Number of Leases in Effect	55,085	40,143	-27%
Total Number of Acres Under Lease	47,242,495	27,207,018	-42%
Number of Producing Leases	23,293	23,926	3%
Number of Producing Acres	14,543,425	12,771,829	-12%
Number of New Leases Issued	2,416	520	-78%
Number of New Leases Issued by Acreage	2,615,259	577,317	-78%
Number of Permits Approved	6,617	2,184	-67%
Total Number of Wells Drilled	5,044	847	-83%

"It's no wonder natural gas production is down on federal lands 15 percent even as it has increased nationwide by 66 percent, and the growth of federal oil production at 69 percent lags far behind nonfederal production, which has skyrocketed 115 percent," continued Sgamma. "The adversarial regulatory environment of the Obama Administration has had its intended effect. We hope the new administration will correct the bureaucratic foot dragging that has stifled responsible development on appropriate public lands and prevented tens of thousands of jobs. We call on the Senate to do its part as well by overturning the redundant BLM venting and flaring rule that usurps EPA and state authority."

###

Western Energy Alliance represents over 300 companies engaged in all aspects of environmentally responsible exploration and production of oil and natural gas in the West. Alliance members are independents, the majority of which are small businesses with an average of fifteen employees. Learn more at www.WesternEnergyAlliance.org.



Western Energy Alliance
1775 Sherman Street, Suite 2700, Denver, CO 80203
Phone: 303-623-0987 | Fax: 303-893-0709 | [Website](http://www.WesternEnergyAlliance.org)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the viewing use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

--
Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

"Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>

From: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Sent: Wed Mar 15 2017 17:22:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Michael Nedd <mnedd@blm.gov>
Subject: Fwd: FW: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

True? Also do you guys have any stats on NSOs/ACECs yet?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Date: Wed, Mar 15, 2017 at 3:09 PM
Subject: FW: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration
To: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>

Our take on the recent release of BLM's annual statistics. <https://www.blm.gov/programs/energy-and-minerals/oil-and-gas/oil-and-gas-statistics>

From: Aaron Johnson
Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 12:34 PM
To: Kathleen Sgamma
Subject: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration



Press Release

March 15, 2017



Contact: Aaron Johnson
Western Energy Alliance
303-501-1062

Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

DENVER – The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) released its data for fiscal year 2016 and all major indicators for the federal onshore oil and natural gas program are down. Western Energy Alliance offered the following comments to BLM's data:

"Who needs the Keep-It-in-the-Ground movement when you have the federal government doing the job itself," said Kathleen Sgamma, president at the Alliance. "Every major indicator of oil and natural gas activity on federal lands is down. There's a reason federal production lags far behind private and state lands. The redundant regulation and red tape over the past eight years have had their intended effects. We look forward to working with Interior Secretary Zinke to realize President Trump's vision of encouraging American energy development and job creation. The statistics speak for themselves."

	2008	2016	Percentage Change
Total Number of Leases in Effect	55,085	40,143	-27%
Total Number of Acres Under Lease	47,242,495	27,207,018	-42%
Number of Producing Leases	23,293	23,926	3%
Number of Producing Acres	14,543,425	12,771,829	-12%
Number of New Leases Issued	2,416	520	-78%
Number of New Leases Issued by Acreage	2,615,259	577,317	-78%
Number of Permits Approved	6,617	2,184	-67%
Total Number of Wells Drilled	5,044	847	-83%

"It's no wonder natural gas [production is down on federal lands](#) 15 percent even as it has increased nationwide by 66 percent, and the growth of federal oil production at 69 percent lags far behind nonfederal production, which has skyrocketed 115 percent," continued Sgamma. "The adversarial regulatory environment of the Obama Administration has had its intended effect. We hope the new administration will correct the bureaucratic foot dragging that has stifled responsible development on appropriate public lands and [prevented tens of thousands of jobs](#). We call on the Senate to do its part as well by overturning the redundant BLM venting and flaring rule that usurps EPA and state authority."

##

Western Energy Alliance represents over 300 companies engaged in all aspects of environmentally responsible exploration and production of oil and natural gas in the West. Alliance members are independents, the majority of which are small businesses with an average of fifteen employees. Learn more at www.WesternEnergyAlliance.org.



Western Energy Alliance
1775 Sherman Street, Suite 2700, Denver, CO 80203
Phone: 303-623-0987 | Fax: 303-893-0709 | [Website](http://www.WesternEnergyAlliance.org)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the viewing use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

--
Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

"Nedd, Michael" <mnedd@blm.gov>

From: "Nedd, Michael" <mnedd@blm.gov>
Sent: Thu Mar 16 2017 08:33:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Macgregor, Katharine" <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: Re: FW: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

Working on it and I'll check with the team to get a status update...

Take care and have a wonderful day! :)

Michael Nedd

202-208-4201 Office

202-208-4800 Fax

mnedd@blm.gov

A thought to consider "Do all the good you can, in all the ways you can, for all the people you can, while you can!"

On Wed, Mar 15, 2017 at 7:22 PM, Macgregor, Katharine <katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
True? Also do you guys have any stats on NSOs/ACECs yet?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kathleen Sgamma** <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>

Date: Wed, Mar 15, 2017 at 3:09 PM

Subject: FW: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

To: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>

Our take on the recent release of BLM's annual statistics. <https://www.blm.gov/programs/energy-and-minerals/oil-and-gas/oil-and-gas-statistics>

From: Aaron Johnson

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 12:34 PM

To: Kathleen Sgamma

Subject: Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration



Press Release

March 15, 2017



Contact: Aaron Johnson
Western Energy Alliance
303-501-1062

Major Indicators Down on Federal Oil & Gas During the Obama Administration

DENVER – The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) released its data for fiscal year 2016 and all major indicators for the federal onshore oil and natural gas program are down. Western Energy Alliance offered the following comments to BLM's data:

"Who needs the Keep-It-in-the-Ground movement when you have the federal government doing the job itself," said Kathleen Sgamma, president at the Alliance. "Every major indicator of oil and natural gas activity on federal lands is down. There's a reason federal production lags far behind private and state lands. The redundant regulation and red tape over the past eight years have had their intended effects. We look forward to working with Interior Secretary Zinke to realize President Trump's vision of encouraging American energy development and job creation. The statistics speak for themselves."

	2008	2016	Percentage Change
Total Number of Leases in Effect	55,085	40,143	-27%
Total Number of Acres Under Lease	47,242,495	27,207,018	-42%
Number of Producing Leases	23,293	23,926	3%
Number of Producing Acres	14,543,425	12,771,829	-12%
Number of New Leases Issued	2,416	520	-78%
Number of New Leases Issued by Acreage	2,615,259	577,317	-78%
Number of Permits Approved	6,617	2,184	-67%
Total Number of Wells Drilled	5,044	847	-83%

"It's no wonder natural gas production is down on federal lands 15 percent even as it has increased nationwide by 66 percent, and the growth of federal oil production at 69 percent lags far behind nonfederal production, which has skyrocketed 115 percent," continued Sgamma. "The adversarial regulatory environment of the Obama Administration has had its intended effect. We hope the new administration will correct the bureaucratic foot dragging that has stifled responsible development on appropriate public lands and prevented tens of thousands of jobs. We call on the Senate to do its part as well by overturning the redundant BLM venting and flaring rule that usurps EPA and state authority."

###

Western Energy Alliance represents over 300 companies engaged in all aspects of environmentally responsible exploration and production of oil and natural gas in the West. Alliance members are independents, the majority of which are small businesses with an average of fifteen employees. Learn more at www.WesternEnergyAlliance.org.



Western Energy Alliance

1775 Sherman Street, Suite 2700, Denver, CO 80203
Phone: 303-623-0987 | Fax: 303-893-0709 | [Website](http://www.WesternEnergyAlliance.org)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the viewing use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

--
Kate MacGregor
1849 C ST NW
Room 6625
Washington DC 20240

202-208-3671 (Direct)

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:34 AM

Conversation Contents

FW: 16-8068 State of Wyoming, et al v. Zinke, et al "Order filed"

Attachments:

/10. FW: 16-8068 State of Wyoming, et al v. Zinke, et al "Order filed"/1.1 2017-03-09 - Order requesting Federal Appellants to Confirm Their Position.pdf

Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>

From: Mallori Miller <MMiller@ipaa.org>
Sent: Fri Mar 10 2017 09:21:01 GMT-0700 (MST)
To: "kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov" <kate_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: FW: 16-8068 State of Wyoming, et al v. Zinke, et al "Order filed"
Attachments: 2017-03-09 - Order requesting Federal Appellants to Confirm Their Position.pdf

Kate—

Hope everything is going well with you at DOI and you're getting settled in. Not sure what is keeping you busy right now but I wanted to make sure this got to someone over at DOI who has background on the issue and could maybe pass it along to the right people.

As it currently stands, our BLM HF case was/is scheduled to be heard in front of the 10th circuit court on March 22nd but yesterday, they issued the attached order to see if the Administration still stands by their position. Obviously, we believe the Administration position has likely changed now that President Trump is at the helm but wanted to make sure this got to the appropriate political appointees.

Anyway, thanks for taking a look and let me know if there is anything we can do to be helpful.

Mallori

From: Barron, Mark S. [mailto:mbarron@bakerlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2017 5:18 PM
To: Dan Naatz; Mallori Miller; Kathleen Sgamma; Tripp Parks
Cc: Barry Russell; Lee Fuller; Neal Kirby; Leggette, Poe; Obrecht, Alexander K.
Subject: FW: 16-8068 State of Wyoming, et al v. Zinke, et al "Order filed"

Good Afternoon Team,

I wish I could take credit for this one . . . a very interesting development this afternoon in BLM HF— the Tenth Circuit just issued the Order below requesting the Department of Justice confirm whether the arguments presented in the appeal of the HF case remain consistent with the new administration's current positions. The government's statement on the question is due next Wednesday, March 15.

As you take questions on this, please note that this was entirely *sua sponte* on the Court's behalf. We can emphasize that the Court acted here on its own accord and that while we appreciate the opportunity to have the new administration reconsider the case (and, more important, the prudence of the overall rule), we are fully prepared to defend our win in the district court on March 22.

Call with any questions,
MB

From: ca10_cmecf_notify@ca10.uscourts.gov [mailto:ca10_cmecf_notify@ca10.uscourts.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2017 2:57 PM
To: Quinn, Susan
Subject: 16-8068 State of Wyoming, et al v. Zinke, et al "Order filed"

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 03/09/2017 at 2:56:28 PM MST and filed on 03/09/2017

Case Name: State of Wyoming, et al v. Zinke, et al
Case Number: [16-8068](#)
Document(s): [Document\(s\)](#)

Docket Text:

[10450181] Order filed by Clerk of the Court. These cases are presently scheduled for oral argument on March 22, 2017. Given the recent change of Administration and the related personnel changes in the Department of Justice and the Department of Interior, the Court is concerned that the briefing filed by the Federal Appellants in these cases may no longer reflect the position of the Federal Appellants. By statement filed electronically on or before March 15, 2017, the Federal Appellants are asked to confirm whether their position on the issues presented remain the same, or have now changed. If the

Federal Appellants have changed their position, the Court would entertain motions for supplemental briefing by the parties. Response due on 03/15/2017 for the Federal Appellants. Served on 03/09/2017. [16-8068, 16-8069]

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Mr. J. Campbell Barker: cam.barker@texasattorneygeneral.gov, sylvia.rosales@texasattorneygeneral.gov
Mr. Mark Simeon Barron: mbarron@bakerlaw.com, squinn@bakerlaw.com
Mr. Brad Arthur Bartlett: brad.bartlett@frontier.net, bbartlett@law.du.edu
Mr. Tommy Hauck Butler: tommybutler@mt.gov, edoj@mt.gov, beholnbeck@mt.gov
Mr. David Aiken Carson: david.a.carson@usdoj.gov, efile_eds.enrd@usdoj.gov, david.a.carson@usdoj.gov, k.joy.gosnell@usdoj.gov
Ms. Jaimie N. Cavanaugh: jcavanaugh@mountainstateslegal.com
Mr. Jeffrey A. Chanay: jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov, donna.wells@ag.ks.gov
Nicholas DiMascio: nicholas.dimascio@usdoj.gov, efile_app.enrd@usdoj.gov
Sean H. Donahue: sean@donahuegoldberg.com
Mr. Timothy C. Fox: alanjoscelyn@mt.gov, beholnbeck@mt.gov, edoj@mt.gov
Mr. Daniel Barry Frank: frank@tribesp.com, danfrank@lpbroadband.net
Mr. Jonathan S. Franklin: Jonathan.Franklin@nortonrosefulbright.com
Mr. Michael S. Freeman: mfreeman@earthjustice.org, afarouche@earthjustice.org, egreer@earthjustice.org, eajusco@earthjustice.org, hmcintosh@earthjustice.org
Mr. William E. Gerard: william.gerard@usdoj.gov
Mr. Tyler R. Green: tylergreen@utah.gov
Mr. Jeremy A. Gross: jeremy.gross@wyo.gov, mary.beth.jones@wyo.gov, heather.hunter@wyo.gov
Ms. Megan L. Hayes: mlhayes@wyoming.com
Ms. Hope Hogan: hhogan@nd.gov
Mr. M. Reed Hopper: mrh@pacificlegal.org, incominglit@pacificlegal.org, kg@pacificlegal.org
Ms. Rebecca Jaffe: rebecca.jaffe@usdoj.gov
Mr. Scott P. Klosterman: sklosterman@wpdn.net
Mr. Andrew J. Kuhlmann: andrew.kuhlmann@wyo.gov
Mr. Steven J. Lechner: lechner@mountainstateslegal.com, jlarrew@mountainstateslegal.com
Mr. L. Poe Leggette: pleggette@bakerlaw.com, squinn@bakerlaw.com
Mr. Steven P. Lehotsky: slehotsky@uschamber.com
Ms. Jahna M. Lindemuth: attorney.general@alaska.gov, ninia.dizon@alaska.gov
Mr. Kevin J. Lynch: klynch@law.du.edu, elc@law.du.edu
Mr. Nathan Matthews: nathan.matthews@sierraclub.org, kathleen.krust@sierraclub.org, harry.libarle@sierraclub.org
Mr. Nathan Maxon: nate.maxon@gmail.com
Mr. Michael McGrady: mike.mcgradyl@wyo.gov, jessica.curless@wyo.gov, shannon.payne@wyo.gov
Joel Minor: jminor@earthjustice.org
Mr. Alexander K. Obrecht: aobrecht@bakerlaw.com, jduncan@bakerlaw.com
Mr. Jeremy Patterson: jpatterson@ndnlaw.com, mdouville@ndnlaw.com
Mr. Erik Petersen: erik.petersen@wyo.gov, jessica.curless@wyo.gov, shannon.payne@wyo.gov
Mr. Stanford E. Purser: spurser@utah.gov, rauvaa@utah.gov
Mr. Jeffrey S. Rasmussen: jasmussen@ndnlaw.com, dfoulk@ndnlaw.com, aklinglesmith@ndnlaw.com
Mr. Sean David Reyes: seanreyes@utah.gov, clesmes@utah.gov
Melissa Lee Reynolds: mreynolds@utah.gov, connieburnside@utah.gov
Mr. John Robinson, Jr.: john@jrobinsonesq.com
Mr. Matthew A. Sagsveen: masagsve@nd.gov
Mr. Derek Schmidt: derek.schmidt@ag.ks.gov, jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov, delberta.pfeifer@ag.ks.gov, eric.montgomery@ag.ks.gov
Jody H. Schwarz: jody.schwarz@usdoj.gov
Mr. Paul Martin Seby: sehyp@gtlaw.com, lawsu@gtlaw.com, DENLitDock@GTLAW.com
Ms. Rebecca L. Sher: Rsher@ndnlaw.com, aklinglesmith@ndnlaw.com
Stephen R. Terrell: NO VALID EMAIL ADDRESS ON FILE
Mr. Nicholas Vassallo: nick.vassallo@usdoj.gov, David.Kubichek@usdoj.gov, Vickie.Smith@usdoj.gov, jane.woodson@usdoj.gov, breaan.m.ramirez@usdoj.gov, CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov
Mr. Robert J. Walker: rwalker@hickeyevans.com
Mr. Robert John Walker: rwalker@hickeyevans.com
Ms. Susannah Weaver: susannah@donahuegoldberg.com
Mr. Jonathan Wood: jw@pacificlegal.org, incominglit@pacificlegal.org, tae@pacificlegal.org

Mr. Steven F. Alder: stevealder@utah.gov, connieburnside@utah.gov (daily summary)
Mr. Wayne Kevin Stenehjelm: ndag@nd.gov (daily summary)
Mr. Frederick Richard Yarger: fred.yarger@coag.gov (daily summary)

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Main Document

Original Filename: 16-8068&16-8069Order2.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1104938855 [Date=03/09/2017] [FileNumber=10450181-0]

[b48d18417019a689abf0ad5e202cd5aef8c1ffeaacd30469e04ba4d6de5ebd57127d99fba55e4d34cd8cba7f605f8d567586f62dacea472425285949a0cb2e18]]

This email is intended only for the use of the party to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

Any tax advice in this email is for information purposes only. The content of this email is limited to the matters specifically addressed herein and may not contain a full description of all relevant facts or a complete analysis of all relevant issues or authorities.

Internet communications are not assured to be secure or clear of inaccuracies as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore,

we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this email, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission.

FILED

**United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

March 9, 2017

**Elisabeth A. Shumaker
Clerk of Court**

STATE OF WYOMING, et al.,

Petitioners - Appellees,

v.

No. 16-8068

RYAN ZINKE,* Secretary, United States
Department of the Interior, et al.,

Respondents - Appellants.

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA, et al.,

Intervenors - Appellees,

SIERRA CLUB, et al.,

Intervenors,

STATE OF UTAH,

Intervenor - Appellee,

UNITED STATES CHAMBER OF
COMMERCE, et al.,

Amici Curiae.

* Ryan Zinke is substituted for Sally Jewell as Secretary of the United States Department of the Interior, and Kristin Bail, Acting Director of the United States Bureau of Land Management, is substituted for Neil Kornze, former Director of the United States Bureau of Land Management pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

STATE OF WYOMING, et al.,

Petitioners - Appellees,

and

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA, et al.,

Intervenors Petitioners - Appellees,

v.

SIERRA CLUB, et al.,

No. 16-8069

Intervenors Respondents -
Appellants,

and

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
THE INTERIOR, et al.,

Respondents,

and

UNITED STATES CHAMBER OF
COMMERCE, et al.,

Amici Curiae.

ORDER

These cases are presently scheduled for oral argument on March 22, 2017. Given the recent change of Administration and the related personnel changes in the Department of Justice and the Department of Interior, the Court is concerned that the briefing filed by

the Federal Appellants in these cases may no longer reflect the position of the Federal Appellants.

By statement filed electronically on or before March 15, 2017, the Federal Appellants are asked to confirm whether their position on the issues presented remain the same, or have now changed. If the Federal Appellants have changed their position, the Court would entertain motions for supplement briefing by the parties.

Entered for the Court

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Elisabeth A. Shumaker", followed by a long horizontal flourish.

ELISABETH A. SHUMAKER, Clerk

Label: "FOIAs/OS-2017-00834_MacGregor"

Created by:katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov

Total Messages in label:33 (11 conversations)

Created: 02-01-2019 at 07:34 AM

Conversation Contents

FW: From E&ENews PM -- WHITE HOUSE: NEPA reviews complete for 99% of stimulus projects -- report

Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>

From: Kathleen Sgamma <ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org>
Sent: Fri Feb 17 2017 12:53:25 GMT-0700 (MST)
"kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.dov"
To: <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.dov>,
"katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov"
<katharine_macgregor@ios.doi.gov>
Subject: FW: From E&ENews PM -- WHITE HOUSE: NEPA reviews complete for 99% of stimulus projects -- report

Hello,

Thanks so much for meeting with us. As promised, below is information on the 182,000 CXs granted for stimulus projects. Also, our NEPA study that outlines the jobs and economic impact prevented by delayed oil and gas EISs is at <https://www.westernenergyalliance.org/knowledge-center/land/onshore-development/national-environmental-policy-act-nepa>.

PS If I've guessed wrong on Kate's email, could you please forward to her?

Kathleen Sgamma

President
Western Energy Alliance
1775 Sherman St., Suite 2700
Denver, CO 80203
(303) 501-1059 direct
(303) 623-0987 main
ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org
westernenergyalliance.org
[@KathleenSgamma](https://twitter.com/KathleenSgamma)

From: ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org [mailto:ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org]
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 3:30 PM
To: Kathleen Sgamma
Subject: From E&ENews PM -- WHITE HOUSE: NEPA reviews complete for 99% of stimulus projects -- report

This E&ENews PM story was sent to you by: ksgamma@westernenergyalliance.org

Personal message:



AN E&E PUBLISHING SERVICE

WHITE HOUSE: NEPA reviews complete for 99% of stimulus projects
-- report (Wednesday, May 4, 2011)

Emily Yehle, E&E reporter

Federal agencies continue to complete timely environmental reviews for stimulus-funded projects, filing more than 99 percent of the required National Environmental Policy Act reviews, according to the White House.

The White House Council on Environmental Quality submitted its ninth report to Congress today on agencies' compliance with NEPA, reporting that federal agencies had completed 190,000 of 190,694 required reviews.

Agencies are required to conduct NEPA reviews for most of their decisions and actions, using the process to reveal any potential environmental impacts. Some reviews are more time-consuming than others. The vast majority of projects only require a "categorical exclusion" that indicates no environmental concerns; others must go through a thorough analysis with public comment.

In the recent report, about 182,000 of the 190,000 completed reviews were categorical exclusions, while 830 were environmental impact statements that indicate the potential for significant environmental effects.

CEQ submits a report to Congress every quarter on the agencies' progress. The last report -- which included information up to Dec. 31 -- found that federal agencies had completed 98 percent of the 188,909 projects requiring NEPA review. Only 609 reviews were still pending.

In the most recent report, CEQ announced that fewer than 690 NEPA reviews were pending as of March 31. The slight increase is partly due to the fact that NEPA reviews have just begun for about 2,000 new projects.

"We know that the health of our environment and the health of our economy are inextricably linked," CEQ Chairwoman Nancy Sutley said in a release. "The departments and agencies have shown they can uphold our country's environmental values and deliver projects designed to stimulate our Nation's economy."

The Department of Energy had completed more than 9,200 NEPA reviews as of March 31, including 9,100 categorical exclusions. Another 67 reviews are under way, according to the report. The Department of Interior, meanwhile, has completed all of its 5,580 NEPA reviews.

None of the 15 executive branch departments or nine agencies included in CEQ's assessment reported an "substantial project delays" because of the NEPA requirements, according to the release.

But many were able to tweak projects to avoid environmental impact. The CEQ report details dozens of examples. One credits an environmental impact statement with helping the Forest Service create an adequate "buffer zone" for the endangered boreal toad in a road reconstruction in Idaho; another describes how technical experts helped DOE select a route to safely transport radioactive waste.

[Click here](#) for the CEQ report.

Want to read more stories like this?

[Click here](#) to start a free trial to E&E -- the best way to track policy and markets.

E&ENews PM is written and produced by the staff of E&E Publishing, LLC. A late afternoon roundup providing coverage of all the breaking and developing policy news from Capitol Hill, around the country and around the world, E&ENews PM is a must-read for the key players who need to be ahead of the next day's headlines. E&ENews PM publishes daily at 4:30 p.m.



E&E Publishing, LLC
122 C St., Ste. 722, NW, Wash., D.C. 20001.
Phone: 202-628-6500. Fax: 202-737-5299.
www.eenews.net

All content is copyrighted and may not be reproduced or retransmitted without the express consent of E&E Publishing, LLC. [Click here](#) to view our privacy policy.